

UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

Beyond the Requirement: A Novel Patient-Follow Up Report

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/03451404>

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 25(3.1)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Schreyer, Kraftin

Allan, Jack

Repanshek, Zachary

et al.

Publication Date

2024-03-24

DOI

10.5811/westjem.20401

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Table 1. Considerations utilized by applicants when deciding which programs to signal.

Of the 5 signals applicants were allotted, how many were used . . .	N	Mean	SD	95% CI
...for geographical considerations?	377	4.0	1.3	(3.9, 4.1)
...to apply to "reach"/more competitive programs?	365	2.3	1.1	(2.2, 2.5)
...to apply to "safety" programs?	257	1.9	0.9	(1.8, 2.0)
...to apply to programs whose strengths align with applicant's career interests?	348	3.9	1.3	(3.7, 4.0)
...to apply to programs that applicants perceived as offering strong clinical training?	377	4.4	1.0	(4.3, 4.5)

Table 2. Effect of the ability to send program signals on applicant self-reported anxiety during the match.

		Frequency (N = 427)	Percent (100%)
How did the signaling process affect applicants match anxiety?	It did not change my outlook	203	47.5%
	It made me a little less anxious	124	29%
	It made me a little more anxious	54	12.7%
	It made me much less anxious	10	2.3%
	It made me much more anxious	7	1.6%
	Missing	29	6.8%

16 Beyond the Requirement: A Novel Patient-Follow Up Report

Kraftin Schreyer, Jack Allan, Zachary Repanshek, Megan Healy, Michael DeAngelis, Wayne Satz, Jacob Ufberg

Background: Until now, residents were required to perform patient follow-ups as part of practice-based learning and improvement. Most commonly a patient follow-up log (PFUL) was used.

Objectives: We sought to provide residents patient follow-ups in an efficient, value-added manner, and hypothesized that our novel patient follow-up report (PFUR) would be better received than the previous FUL.

Methods: The PFUR, sent monthly via email, is automatically generated based on specific criteria in the electronic medical record. The PFUR includes five non mutually exclusive categories of cases: patients who were discharged and readmitted within 72 hours, patients with certain diagnoses, patients who expired during the hospital stay, and patients who were upgraded to the intensive care unit within 24 hours of admission, and patients independently flagged for inclusion. Pre and post surveys were sent to the senior post-graduate year (PGY) residents. PGY-1s were excluded as they had not used the PFUL.

Results: Four months following implementation, 1436 total cases were included on the PFUR, an increase from the previous average of 105. Across all PGYs, the majority (19.8%) of cases were ICU upgrades, followed by those diagnosis-based (16.7%) and those that expired that encounter (12.3%). On average, 9.57% of total patient encounters met criteria for the PFUR. Fourteen of the eligible 28 residents responded to the surveys. The PFUR had an average value

rating of 4.36, compared to the PFUL rating of 1.64. The PFUR was preferred by 90% of the residents, and 82% felt that it impacted the clinical care they provide. Subjective evaluation of the PFUR found it, "consolidated, less forced, and exponentially more helpful for learning."

Conclusion: The novel PFUR has already proven to be more comprehensive, accessible and more highly valued than PFUL. Programs looking to continue to provide the benefit of patient follow-ups should consider a similar report.

17 Impact of a Departmental Guideline and Educational Intervention on Droperidol Use in the Emergency Department

Christopher Karousatos, Zachary Repanshek

Background: In 2001, the FDA issued a Boxed Warning for droperidol due to concerns for QTc-interval prolongation. In response, its use has decreased and even been abandoned by many EDs. Based on more recent research finding these risks to be overstated, AAEM and ACEP have issued guidelines affirming that droperidol can be safely administered in the ED to treat headache, nausea, and agitation. Departments may be considering the best way to reintroduce this medication to ED practice.

Objectives: This study assesses droperidol use patterns in the ED following a multimodal educational intervention based on a new departmental guideline.

Methods: This is an observational cohort study across 3 EDs in a university healthcare system. Data was collected by electronic medical record (EMR) review. An ED guideline for droperidol use was created (Figure 1) and added to the EMR for reference on shift. An educational session about the history, usage, and safety of droperidol was presented during resident didactics and faculty meeting. A recorded version was added to the departmental YouTube page and emailed to residents and faculty along with the guideline. The primary outcome was droperidol usage five months pre- and post-intervention, with secondary outcome of use by indication.

Results: At five months post-intervention, droperidol use increased significantly: 27 doses in the pre-intervention period to 238 after (p-value < 0.0001). Table 1 shows pre- and post-intervention usage by indication.

Conclusions: Droperidol is an effective medication that fell out of favor because of questionable evidence. Now that the safety of this medication has been demonstrated, departments may consider the best way to reintroduce this treatment to practice and educate providers on its use. In this study, a multimodal educational intervention, coupled with the implementation of a departmental guideline, has led to a significant increase in the appropriate utilization of droperidol in the ED.