UCLA

UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology

Title

Figurative Language

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0g57k4fb

Journal

UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology, 1(1)

Author

Hsu, Shih-Wei

Publication Date

2023-05-15

Copyright Information

Copyright 2023 by the author(s). All rights reserved unless otherwise indicated. Contact the author(s) for any necessary permissions. Learn more at https://escholarship.org/terms

Peer reviewed

UCLA ENCYCLOPEDIA of ENCYCLOPEDIA of

FIGURATIVE LANGUAGE

اللغة التصويرية

Shih-Wei Hsu

EDITORS

ANDRÉAS STAUDER Editor, Language, Text, and Writing Paris, France WILLEKE WENDRICH Editor-in-Chief Los Angeles, USA

SOLANGE ASHBY
ANNE AUSTIN
MENNAT-ALLAH EL DORRY
ANNA HODGKINSON
ANNETTE IMHAUSEN
CHRISTINE JOHNSTON
FATMA KESHK
JUAN CARLOS MORENO GARCÍA

JUAN CARLOS MORENO GARCIA RUNE NYORD

TANJA POMMERENING

JONATHAN WINNERMAN

Los Angeles, USA Upper Nile Languages and Cultures St. Louis, USA Individual and Society

Cairo, Egypt Natural Environment: Flora and Fauna

Berlin, Germany Material Culture Frankfurt, Germany Domains of Knowledge

Bellingham, USA Natural Environment: Landscapes, Climate

Cairo, Egypt Geography Paris, France Economy

Atlanta, USA History of Egyptology
Marburg, Germany Domains of Knowledge
Los Angeles, USA Religion

Citation:

Hsu, Shih-Wei, 2023, Figurative Language. In Andréas Stauder and Willeke Wendrich (eds.), UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology, Los Angeles. ISSN 2693-7425. DOI: TBA



FIGURATIVE LANGUAGE

اللغة التصويرية

Shih-Wei Hsu

Bildliche Ausdrücke Langage figuré

Figurative language makes use of comparative expressions to describe senses whose meaning is not directly expressed and to make an abstract idea concrete. Figurative phrases or terms can build imageries to strengthen an audience's understanding. Both the terms "figure of thought" and "figure of speech" are applied commonly today in our everyday language. Figurative language was also used abundantly in Egyptian, appearing in almost all text genres with minor differences in quantity. Diverse research approaches to figurative language have been developed during the last couple of decades in Egyptology, notably from linguistic and textual perspectives.

تتكون اللغة التصويرية من تعبيرات تجعل الفكرة المجردة ملموسة. يمكن للغة التصويرية أن تخلق صورًا لتعزيز إدراك وفهم القارئ. يتم تطبيق كل من مصطلحي «شكل الفكر» و «شكل الكلام» بشكل شائع اليوم في لغتنا اليومية. كما تم استخدام اللغة التصويرية بكثرة في اللغة المصرية القديمة، حيث ظهرت في جميع أنواع النصوص تقريبا مع اختلافات طفيفة في الكمية. تم تطوير مناهج بحثية متنوعة للغة التصويرية خلال العقدين الماضيين في علم المصريات، ولا سيما من المنظور ات اللغوية و النصية.

igurative language is a traditional rhetorical style referring to a group of diverse tropes and uses of words describing pictorial or graphic objects in a non-literal way (Dancygier and Sweetser 2014; Colston 2015). Figurative language acts in contrast to non-figurative language, just as a metaphorical word acts contrastively when used together with non-metaphorical words (Ricœur 2003: 161-162). Genette (1966: 205-221) reports that the contrast between figurative and non-figurative language is that of a real language to a virtual one, and that the content depends wholly on the speaker's and listener's own perceptions. In general, when necessary, all kinds of languages can be used in a figurative sense—unsurprisingly, thus could Egyptian.

Researching Figurative Language in General

Already in the Classical Period, sophists such as Gorgias of Leontinoi (483 – 375 BCE) and Protagoras of Abdera (490 – 420 BCE), philosophers such as Plato (c. 428 – 348 BCE) and Aristotle (384 – 322 BCE) (Schirren 2008), and the rhetorician Quintilian (35 – 100 CE) (Andersen 2008: 44-49) debated the art of rhetoric, which encompasses all kinds of stylistic techniques of dialectic and persuasion for speakers, be they politicians, debaters, or orators. Quintilian thus offered a detailed investigation of rhetoric in his Institutes of Oratory (translated by Butler 1959). In Books VIII and IX, he treated diverse tropes such as metaphor, metonymy, antonomasia, metalepsis, synecdoche, catachresis, allegory, hyperbole, and comparison. He also explained that "figures and tropes are often combined in the expression of the same thought, since

figures are introduced just as much by the metaphorical as by the literal use of words" (Book IX, I.9; translated by Butler 1959: 353). The modern-day classes of figurative language are figurative thought or tropes; on the other hand, figures of speech are represented by "rhetorical figures" or "schemes" (Abrams and Harpham 2012: 130). The distinction between these is not obvious, because they often overlap and are easily confused. It is simplistic to say that figurative thought pertains to ideas, its words displaying their exact meaning, while figures of speech relate to verbal expression, their meaning conveyed not by the primary definition of their words but by their order or syntactical pattern (e.g., metaphor and simile). The aim of using figurative language is mainly to compare two dissimilar objects or ideas in order to improve the understanding of both. Additionally, figurative language can describe an abstract idea and reveal or elicit an emotion. In the course of speech, its use can easily influence the audience and help them create a visual image of the content. Figurative language has multiple forms, such as simile, metaphor, metonymy, synecdoche, personification, onomatopoeia, oxymoron, hyperbole, litotes, idiom, alliteration, allusion, and paradox. Aristotle described in his Rhetoric (Book III, 4; translated by Roberts 2008: 182) that "the simile also is a metaphor; the difference is but slight. When the poet says of Achilles that he 'leapt on the foe as a lion,' this is a simile; when he says of him 'the lion leapt,' it is a metaphor—here, since both are courageous, he has transferred to Achilles the name of 'lion.' " Quintilian (Book VIII, VI.8; trans-lated by Butler 1959: 305) explained that "In totum autem metaphora brevior est similitudo" ("on the whole metaphor is a shorter form of simile")—that is, the metaphor is regarded as an elliptical simile, because simile is "the canonical form of which metaphor would be the abbreviation" (Ricœur 2003: 293). "To be like/as" functions as a metaphorical mode of the copula itself. This metaphor is also called a be-form metaphor, "A is/are B," and is also viewed as a nominal or copula metaphor in the literature (Barnden 2012: 266).

In general, a metaphor could be paraphrased as a simile, although the two are not treated as

equivalent assertions, even if, at first sight, a metaphor and its corresponding simile have the same meaning (Glucksberg and Haught 2006: 361). Furthermore, metaphors tend to express the object more vividly, i.e., "more metaphorically," while similes tend to bring forth two things in an equal degree (ibid.: 364). Therefore, instead of "elliptical simile," Glucksberg applies the term "implicit simile," which can be treated as "any ordinary comparison statement" (Glucksberg 2001: 29). According to Barnden (2012: 275) "the metaphor-as-elliptical-simile shorthand is a way from being an innocent abbreviation."

Researching Figurative Language in Egyptology

Grapow (1920, 1924) was the first scholar to comprehensively research figurative language in Egyptian texts. Figurative language can be either a simile or a metaphor; it mainly explains and clarifies unclear things, and makes unknown relationships known. According to Grapow (1924: 8), the origin of figurative language lies in the similarity of an object such as its appearance, color, feature, or function—to another object. Additionally, Grapow provided a general overview of Egyptian simile, allegory, and parable, as well as a detailed catalog of so-called tertium comparationis (lit. "the third part of the comparison," discussed further below), whose contents derive from originally different categories such as nature, landscape, animals, plants, the human body, the gods, etc. (Grapow 1924: 11-14). In the Lexikon der Ägyptologie (1975: columns 805-806), Brunner gave a definition of figurative language as follows (present author's translation): "Language often does not use words for their original meaning but for comparing to something, whether it is a concrete or an abstract noun, whether it refers to a characteristic or an occurrence. We could call these inappropriately used words 'figurative language.' "Brunner (1975: column 807) also indicated that figurative language often consists of only a single word, either a noun or a verb, and seldom an adjective. Other scholars have discussed further topics related to figurative language, such as "allegory, i.e., parable" by Osing (1977), and "stylistics" and

"simile" by Guglielmi (1986 a and b; 1996). Di Biase-Dyson (2017) provides an update of metaphor studies in Egyptology.

With interest in figurative language steadily increasing, research has been conducted on the topic from a variety of perspectives, such as iconography and semiotics by Goldwasser (1992, 2002, 2006, 2009), rhetorical stylistics by Hintze (1950 – 1952) and Fecht (1963, 1964, 1965, 1970), and its usages in the various texts by Grapow (1952), Firchow (1953), Lepper (2008), Parkinson (1992, 2006), Mathieu (1996), and Landgráfová (2008). A current detailed study of figurative language is found in Hsu (2017b), surveying figurative language in diverse text genres, particularly its use, purpose, and function in royal inscriptions.

Opening new perspectives, Lakoff and (1980) "conceptual metaphor Johnson's theory" considered metaphor to be pervasive in our everyday life, not just in language but in thought and action. This idea was also adopted by Egyptology. Nyord (2012, 2015) gave an overview of cognitive linguistics as applied to Egyptian and discussed its role in written "classifiers," lexical semantics, grammar, and conceptual patterns. Approaches to concepts, methods, structures, and results of conceptual metaphor theory in general have concerned: the heart as emotional metaphor (Toro Rueda 2003); the conceptual structure of the heart (Nyord 2009a); body parts as metonyms (Werning 2014); conceptualizations of the body (Nyord 2009b); conceptualizations of diverse emotions (Köhler 2011, 2012, 2016; Eicke 2021); conceptualized temperature (Nyord 2017; Di Biase-Dyson 2018); lexeme of weariness and sleep (Gerhards 2021); the perception verb dp (Steinbach 2015); spatiotemporal expressions (Di Biase-Dyson 2012; Hsu 2017a); metaphors of domination (Moers 2004; David 2011); and the ancient Egyptian idea of "death" (Hsu 2014a, 2021b; Apostel 2020 – 2021; Vernus 2020).

Researching Simile and Metaphor in Egyptology

In Egyptology, Grapow (1924: 3) also focused mostly on the rhetorical forms of simile and metaphor, two main components of figurative language. A simile (*similitudo*; *comparatio*) is, as

outlined above, a comparison of two things, indicated by a "comparison marker," such as "like," "as," "as if," "as though," and "more...than," or by a verb indicating their similarity, such as "A is like B," "A is more than B," or "A resembles B." In Middle Egyptian, the preposition mj is used for the comparative, and r for the superlative, e.g., jst hm=f h^cr hr=sn mj m3j "then his majesty is angry with them like a lion" (Great Libyan War Inscription of Merenptah; Kitchen 1982: 4:4); jw d3bw jm=f hn^c jarrt wr n=f jrp r mw "figs (were) in it (the good land) and grapes, and more wine than water" (Sinuhe: Papyrus Berlin P 3022 B 81-82; Koch 1990: 41; Simpson ed. 2003: 58). A metaphor (Greek μεταφορά: metaphorá; Latin: translatio) is a figure of speech. It contains a word whose intended meaning differs from that of its original definition. In m3j knd swsh hpš.wj "the angry lion who extends both strong arms" (First Libyan War Inscription of Ramesses III; Kitchen 1983: 15:9-10), the lion here no longer represents the true animal, but is, rather, a synonym for the king.

Grapow (1924: 6-7) used the example sw mj k3 'h' hr b3wj jrt=f hr 'b.wj=f grg hr r thm phs(w) m dp=f "he is like a bull, who stands on the battle field, his eyes on his horns, ready and prepared to penetrate his attackers with his head" (First Libyan War Inscription of Ramesses III; Kitchen 1983: 25:13) to explain differences simile between metaphorical identification. The simile in this example is simply sw mj k3 "he is like a bull"; the metaphorical identification is "the king = the bull," i.e., it expresses that the king has bulllike strength. The bare metaphor is shown by the example k3 knj nht-c hn s(w) hr cb.wj=f nhnh dww m-s3 tkk sw "the brave bull, with strong arms, who relies upon his horns, attacks the mountains in pursuit of him who assails him" (Second Libyan War Inscription of Ramesses III; Kitchen 1983: 49:4-5); here, the bull totally replaces the king. Guglielmi (1986a: 29-30; 1996: 484) divides metaphors into "near metaphor" (mdw j3w "staff of the elder" and jt nmhw "father of the orphan") and "far metaphor" (hn bnj n mrwt "a sweet, lovely plant" and ht šps "a splendid wood [made by a god]"). These metaphors exist

morphosyntactic forms, for instance in nominal (k3 nht nb t3.wj "strong bull, Lord of the Two Lands" [First Libyan War Inscription of Ramesses III; Kitchen 1983: 15:9]), or in verbal syntax (ts t3.wj "the one who ties the Two Lands" [Stela of Ahmose; Sethe ed. 1927: 14:6), or built with the predicative m (jw=k m 3pdw d3d3 "You are [as] the copulating birds" [pTurin A verso 1,10; Tacke 2001: 121]). Additionally, Guglielmi (1986a: 29) holds that simile, allegory, and parable are synonyms in some regard, constructed by a verb, adverb, or preposition, but that only the parable is a detailed implemented simile.

In addition, both simile and metaphor need "tenor" and "vehicle" to build a metaphorical mode. These terms were introduced by Richards (1965: 96): tenor indicates the subject, and vehicle, the metaphorical term. Their relation can be simply explained as: "the original idea" and "the borrowed one"; "what is really being said or thought of' and "what it is compared to"; "the underlying idea" and "the imagined nature"; and "the principal subject" and "what it resembles." In the simile "the king is like a bull," or in the metaphor "the king is a bull," the king is the "tenor" and the bull, the "vehicle." However, in a metaphor the tenor can sometimes be omitted: for example, "bull" may totally replace the term "king." Significantly, the tertium comparationis, as Grapow (1924: 10-14) offered, is the third part of a comparison (Vergleichsmittel), "with reference to which two things that are compared agree with each other" (Zhu 2017: 44). This "third thing" often indicates the features or quality of a compared object. A simile without an explicative tertium comparationis is described as "non-motivated," e.g., "my love is like a flame," because its motif is not clear; in contrast, "my love burns like a flame" has a clear motif of "heat" (Genette 1996: 239). However, even though the tenor and the vehicle are distinct entities, sharing no obvious resemblance, the features of "flame" can still be assumed. After all, the tertium comparationis connects tenor and vehicle, the vehicle constituting an object depending on the purpose required. For instance, if we want to describe a color, we could compare "black" to "crow," "white" to "swan," "red" to "blood,"

"blue" to "sky," etc. We can also create descriptions by employing the quality of an "cunning" "wolf," animal, for e.g., "dangerous" for "crocodile," "strong and wild" for "lion," "dirty" for "pig," etc. In the example "the king is a bull," the tertium comparationis could represent something strong, powerful, irritable, combative, dangerous, and frightening. Thus Grapow (1924) compiled all kinds of images into diverse categories (nature, plants, animals, parts of the human body, etc.) and gave corresponding examples. In general, figurative language could be employed as an embellishment of speech, exaggeration, proverbial rhetoric, or euphemism (Grapow 1924: 25). The exact purpose of figurative language depended on the text genre and content.

The Use of Figurative Language in Egyptian Texts

Figurative language pervades Egyptian texts but its presence varies according to period and text type. In the Old Kingdom, figurative language mostly appears in the Pyramid Texts and in Reden und Rufe, i.e., workers' dialogues, and less often in the biographies. Egyptians expressed figuratively all the symbols, notions, ideas, and images of religious ritual: "that which in everyday and common life context would form a metaphor in the religious text or even more in the religious realities is a fact" (Popielska-Grzybowska 2009: 160). The lively dialogues of workers (Motte 2017) often contain insults and curses, with many words metaphorized from the scatological and the sexual spheres in order to offend, e.g., 'rt "asshole" (WB I: 209:4), hs "excrement" (WB III: 164:4-10), and nkw "fornicator" (WB II: 345:11). The topic of "charity" in the biographies (Jansen-Winkeln 2004: 67) spans all Egyptian periods. The phrase rd.n=j t n hgr mw n jb hbs n hay mrht n hsa "I gave bread to the hungry one, water to the thirsty one, clothes to the naked one, and unguent to the unanointed one" (Kloth 2002: 77-78) is itself metaphorical, indicating "I am the sustenance of/provider for the needy" (Grapow 1924: 142-143, present author's translation).

The First Intermediate Period Autobiography of Ankhtifi (Vandier 1950; Schenkel 1965: 45-57; Breyer 2005) includes numerous rhetorical devices to demonstrate Ankhtifi's prestige and greatness, such as $jw jr.n(=j) \underline{d}w n Hf3.t \ \check{s}w.t \ qb.t \ n \ Hr-Mrj$ "(I) acted as a mountain for Moʻalla and as a cool shade for Her-mer" (IV 25-26), and $jnk \ nht \ nt \ sn\underline{d}w \ mnnw \ n \ bh3w \ w3j$ "I am the refuge of those who have fear and the fortress for fugitives who are far away" (VI.B.1), among others.

Accompanied by sophisticated Middle Egyptian rhetoric, stylistic devices such as amphiboly, antithesis, alliteration, ellipsis, and parallelismus membrorum (Fecht 1970: 37-51) increasingly enriched Middle Egyptian literary texts consisting of instructions, narratives, dialogues, tales, laments, etc. These belles lettres (mdt nfrt) employ a multitude of similes and metaphors to make the texts vivid. Thus, figurative language is used to emphasize the importance of oratory in wisdom literature: the king is advised to practice his speech, because qn mdwt r h3 nb "words are stronger than any battle" (Instruction for Merikara; pPetersburg 1116A 32; Quack 1992: 24-25). In the Instruction of Ptahhotep (Žába 1956; Junge 2003), with its broad variety of topics, figurative language is notably evident in special themes such as "good speech" "disassociation from married women," making these maxims easily comprehensible. Negative figurative expressions are largely used to illustrate a range of professions in the Satire of the Trades/Teaching of Kheti (Jäger 2004), while in comparison the advantages of the profession of "the scribe" are placed in a positive light. Both the Loyalist Instruction (Posener 1976; Vernus 2001: 205-216) and the Story of Sinuhe (Koch 1990; Simpson 2003: 54-66) contain varied metaphors for praising the king. Moreover, some terms have a special metaphorical meaning in their respective contexts, such as hmw "steering oar," mhst "balance," jb/h3tj "heart," ns "tongue" (Hermann 1954: 106-115), mw "water" (Moers 2001: 192-201), and mzh "crocodile" (ibid.: 202-211). In accordance with his experiences, the protagonist uses special images to impress the readership with his story: in the Shipwrecked Sailor, the sailor embraced his šwt

"shadow" while sleeping (pPetersburg 1115 44; Blackman 1972: 42; Simpson 2004: 48) and took his jb "heart" as a companion because he was alone (pPetersburg 1115 42; Blackman 1972: 42; Simpson ed. 2003: 48); Sinuhe has learned of the dpt mwt "taste of death" from the dryness of his throat (pBerlin P 3022 23; Koch 1990: 20; Simpson ed. 2003: 56), but he could also be ready to fight like a k3 "bull" (pBerlin P 3022 118; Koch 1990: 48; Simpson ed. 2003: 59). The justice and virtue of the Chief Steward Rensi, the son of Meru, are metaphorically illustrated in the petitions of the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant (pBerlin 3023 [B1] 273-278; Parkinson 1991: 34; 2012: 220-223). Additionally, the pessimistic texts use numerous images for describing the decline of kingship, the weakness of the central government, crop failures and famine, the modification of social structure, cruelty, and chaos throughout the land (Hsu 2021a: 59-67). In these and other texts, the syntactic pattern sonst-jetzt (Schenkel 1984) expresses the contrast between an idealized, normative past and an observed, problematic present, often with rich figurative language.

Other Middle Kingdom text types, such as hymns and biographies, similarly feature the increased use of figurative language. Personal names often allude to the names of deities, animals, plants, and inanimate objects (Ranke 1935, 1952, 1977; Vittmann 2013), perhaps due to the name-holder's desire either to receive the protection of a god or to adopt the quality of an animal, plant, or object.

In the New Kingdom, the development of rhetoric stylistics reached its peak. Literary texts such as instructions, love poetry, the Late-Egyptian miscellanies, and the satirical letter on Papyrus Anastasi I abound in figurative language. In the instructions, a speech may be dangerous like *d*^c "storm" (Teaching of Amenemope: pBM EA 10474 3,15; Laisney 2007: 46) and *t3w* "wind" (Teaching of Amenemope: pBM EA 10474 12,1-2; Laisney 2007: 119-120). The theme of life is often metaphorized with river traffic in the instructions: ship = person and steering = life path (Hermann 1954: 106). The conceptual metaphor LIFE IS A PATH has recently been the

subject of innovative discussion (Di Biase-Dyson 2016). Metaphors in love poetry have double meanings in order to euphemize desire and sexual intercourse, as well as to describe the five senses, beauty, emotions, and the relationship between lovers (Mathieu 1996; Landgráfová 2008; Hsu 2014b). In the Latemiscellanies, Egyptian the student represented as a variety of animals—53 "donkey" (pSaillier I recto 3,9; 7,11; Caminos 1954: 304, 320), smn "Nile goose" (pLansing recto 3.5; Tacke 2001: 90), or šssw "bubalis antelope" (pAnastasi IV recto 2,5: Tacke 2001: 54) if he is unwilling to learn, or flees from lessons. In contrast, the teacher is marvelously metaphorized in a praise (pLansing verso 13a,8-15,5; Tacke 2001: 116-120). Similarly, in the Satire of the Trades/Teaching of Kheti, many professions are illustrated with negative imagery, while it is stressed that "to be a scribe" is the best career. Furthermore, the satirical letter on Papyrus Anastasi I is virtually a form of personal propaganda displaying the scribe Hori's great knowledge by associating him with numerous positive images; thus, he is a hbs "light" or a dnjt "dam," and he can write faster than a šsr "arrow" (pAnastasi I 1, 3-6; Fischer-Elfert 1986: 17). Instances of figurative language in medical texts are numerous in order that the illnesses or symptoms be clearly described to aid in their recognition: e.g., illness of the heart employs the likeness of the weather, the heart being described as kk "dark" (pEbers 102,10; von Deines, Grapow, and Westendorf 1958: 3), gp "clouded" (pEbers 102,2; von Deines, Grapow, and Westendorf 1958: 3), and *hbs* "covered" (pEbers 102,3; von Deines, Grapow, and Westendorf 1958: 3). Names of plants, animals, and body parts are used for specialized medical terms.

Of all these text genres, royal inscriptions, particularly those of the Ramesside Period, are the most adorned with figurative language (Hsu 2017b). As the most important person in ancient Egypt, the king was the subject of an enormous number of similes and metaphors primarily portraying his characteristics of strength, power, might, courage, and bravery, and his ability to fight and protect his people and country. The Beth-Shan Stela of Ramesses II offers a very good example:

hy n h3rt nd.tj hr nhmw wšb [pw] n ngsw mnjw qnj m s^cnh tmww sbtj pw mnh n Kmt jkm n hh mkj 'š3 nhm.n=f Kmt hwtf.tj w3j r \sam r dr=s dj=f wnn t3w nbw hr rd.wj=f nswt-bjt Wsr-m3°t-R° stp.n-R° z3 R° R^c-msj-sw Mrj-Jmn t3i pd.t=f hr htr hf = f šsr=f jw=f mj sb3 śšd m hr-jb 'š3t m nht cwn sqry nw phw t3 shr.n=f wrw=sn hn^c mš^c=w jw hm=f m-s3=sn ті k3 Nbwtj ті bjk т ht pt n зрdw mj m3j hz3 m jhjj n wt mj ht mh.n=s m qm3w nh3w d^c kh3 m-s3=sn hr spd nbj jw=w mj šwt spdw r-hst tsw

A husband for the widow, a protector for the orphan, a defender for the needy, valiant herdsman in sustaining mankind. An effective rampart is he for Egypt, a shield for millions and protector of multitudes. He rescued Egypt when (it was) plundered, moving against the Asiatics to subdue them; he sets all lands beneath his feet, the King of Southern and Northern Egypt, Usimara Setepenra, Son of Ra, Ramesses II Meriamun, who takes up his bow on the chariot, and seizes his arrow, being like a shooting star amidst the multitude in victory, and who plunders the defeated to (of) the ends of the earth. He has overthrown their chiefs, along with their troops, His Majesty is after them like the bull of the Ombite, like a falcon in a flock of birds, like a fierce lion in a pen of goats, like fire when it has seized on reed thickets. A whirlwind raging after them and raising flames, while they are like mere bird-feathers before the wind (translation by Kitchen 1979: 151: 6-12; 1997: 29; transliteration by present author).

Tertia comparationis applied in diverse categories—e.g., the world of deities, animals, nature, plants, human relationships, and inanimate objects—are highly persuasive and impressive and render magnificent images of

the king. Figurative language is also used in royal inscriptions for the following themes: the king's names and titularies, origin, descriptions of his enemies, and his relationship with the gods (Hsu 2013). The average number of similes and metaphors is approximately equal; their occurrence simply depends on the theme. Figurative language thus seeks to explore the core meanings of kingship and its ideology, and written images function these embellishments in the presentation of kingship.

Figurative language becomes less prominent in the Late Period in comparison to earlier periods. Similes appear more often in Demotic instructions than in other texts; for instance, Instruction of Chaschaeschongi (Hoffmann and Quack 2007: 273-299) predominantly uses simile, and the Instruction of Papyrus Insinger (ibid.: 239-273) and the Instruction of Papyrus Brooklyn 47.218.135 (ibid.: 230-239) also feature some uses. At the same time, personal titles in biographies are replaced by bodily metaphors that become a standard designation: jrtj swt Jpt-Swt šsr bjt n t3 "eyes of the king of Upper Egypt in Karnak, tongue of the king of Lower Egypt for the land" (Jansen-Winkeln 1985: 11 §A1/CG 559; and others). In the narratives, a special term ym "sea" is used for expressing the emotion "anger" (pSpiegelberg III,16; Tait 2009: 79), while 3bj "panther" describes the king's anger (Köhler 2016: 221-227). As for the royal inscriptions, although they still adopt the style and form of those of the New Kingdom, the rhetorical and stylistic elements become less frequent. The Triumphal Stela of Pi(ankh)y, for instance, bears a resemblance to the Gebel Barkal stela of Thutmose III, yet many traditional images of the king are lacking, and there was apparently no need of other rhetorical devices, possibly due to the loss of actual value of the kingship of the foreign powers (El Hawary 2010: 362); moreover, through the form of "Egyptianization" the Kushite kings could already claim their own kingship as the Egyptian kings once did (Hsu 2020: 89-90). Meanwhile, because of various political changes, the presence of unstable and imposed foreign rule concatenation, kingship became weak and fractured, and metaphors for the defeated enemies of the king were on the decrease as well.

Concluding Remarks

As a rhetorical stylistic device, figurative language plays an important role in Egyptian texts. Among the multiple forms used, the overwhelming majority are similes and metaphors. Since the beginning of the twentieth century, figurative language has garnered increasing attention from Egyptologists who have regarded it mostly from the classic perspective, taking into account when and how it becomes visible in the different texts. However, as a result of the new trend of applying modern linguistics to ancient Egyptian texts, the number of investigations has increased notably, particularly from the perspective of conceptual metaphor theory and related points of view. The present brief overview has aimed to be a starting point for more specialized research that will shed more light on the way the ancient Egyptians thought and acted.

Bibliographic Notes

Among general introductions, Dancygier and Sweetser (2014) provide an overview of figurative language from various points of view, although they mainly discuss the topics of metaphor and metonymy. Colston (2015) presents multidisciplinary studies of figurative language, focusing on metaphors, verbal irony, idioms, and proverbs, among other forms. Abrams and Harpham (2012: 130-

133) offer a brief contribution on the term "figurative language." In Egyptology, Grapow (1920, 1924) made the first general study of figurative language. Several articles published in the *Lexikon der Ägyptologie* (Brunner 1975; Osing 1977; and Guglielmi 1986 a and b) deal with the subject. For the use of figurative language in love poetry, see Landgráfová (2008); in literary texts, see Bürgle (2000) and Steynor (2011); in the instructions, see Di Biase-Dyson (2016); and in royal inscriptions, see Hsu (2017b). For a general discussion of cognitive linguistics, see Nyord (2015). Di Biase-Dyson (2017) provides a specific focus on identifying metaphor while including broader conceptual issues.

References

Abrams, M. H., and Geoffrey Harpham

2012 A glossary of literary terms. Tenth edition. Boston: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.

Andersen, Øivind

2008 Rhetorik und Stilistik des römischen Antike/Rhetoric and stylistics in ancient Rome. In Rhetorik und Stilistik/Rhetoric and stylistics. Handbooks of Linguistics and Communication Science 31.1, ed. Ulla Fix, Andreas Gardt, and Joachim Knape, pp. 25-54. Berlin and New York: De Gruyter Mouton.

Apostel, Lilith

2020- Death is sleep: The pervasiveness of a material and multimodal conceptual metaphor in ancient Egypt. *Journal of Cognitive Historiography* 6(1-2) (2020 – 2021), pp. 65-97.

Aristotle

1924 Rhetoric. Translated by W. Rhys Roberts. Rhetorica: The Works of Aristotle 11. Oxford: Clarendon Press. Barnden, John A.

2012 Metaphor and simile: Fallacies concerning comparison, ellipsis, and inter-paraphrase. Metaphor and Symbol 27, pp. 265-282.

Blackman, Aylward

1972 Middle-Egyptian stories. Brussels: Éditions de la Fondation égyptologique Reine Élisabeth.

Breyer, Francis

2005 Die Inschrift des Anchtifi von Mo'alla. In Text aus der Umwelt des Alten Testament, Neue Folge, Band 2, ed. Bernd Janowski and Gernot Wilhelm, pp. 187-196. Gütersloh: Gütesloher Verlagshaus.

Brunner, Hellmut

1975 Bildliche Ausdrücke und Übertragungen. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Band I, ed. Wolfgang Helck and Eberhard Otto, columns 805-811. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Bürgle, Marlies

2000 Untersuchungen zur Bildsprache in ägyptischen literarischen Texten. Unpublished Master's thesis. M\u00fcnich: Ludwig-Maximilians Universit\u00e4t M\u00fcnchen.

Caminos, Ricardo A.

1954 Late-Egyptian miscellanies. London: Oxford University Press.

Colston, Herbert L.

2015 Using figurative language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dancygier, Barbara, and Eve Sweetser

2014 Figurative language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

David, Arlette

2011 Devouring the enemy: Ancient Egyptian metaphors of domination. The Bulletin of the Australian Centre for Egyptology 22, pp. 83-100.

Di Biase-Dyson, Camilla

2012 A diachronic approach to the syntax and semantics of Egyptian spatio-temporal expressions with h3-t "front": Implications for cognition and metaphor. In Lexical semantics in ancient Egyptian, ed. Eitan Grossman, Stéphane Polis, and Jean Winand, pp. 247-292. Hamburg: Widmaier.

Wege und Abwege: Zu den Metaphern in der ramessidischen Weisheitsliteratur. Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 143(1), pp. 22-33.

2017 Metaphor. In UCLA encyclopedia of Egyptology, ed. Julie Stauder-Porchet, Andréas Stauder, and Willeke Wendrich. Los Angeles. http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002kcbfm

2018 The figurative network: Tracking the use of metaphorical language for "hot" and "cold" in Ramesside literary texts. In *The Ramesside Period in Egypt: Studies into cultural and historical processes of the 19th and 20th Dynasties*, ed. Sabine Kubisch and Ute Rummel, pp. 33-43. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter.

Eicke, Sven

2021 History of horror: A diachronic overview of fear(s) in ancient Egyptian texts. In *The expression of emotions in ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia*, ed. Shih-Wei Hsu and Jaume Llop Raduà, pp. 25-51. Leiden: Brill.

El Hawary, Amr

2010 Wortschöpfung: Die memphitische Theologie und die Siegesstele des Pije – zwei Zeugen kultureller Repräsentation in der 25. Dynastie. Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 243. Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Erman, Adolf, and Hermann Grapow (eds.)

1926- Wörterbuch der aegyptischen Sprache I. (Abbreviated WB.) 13 volumes (1926 – 1963). Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs; Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Fecht, Gerhard

1963 Die Wiedergewinnung der altägyptischen Verskunst. Mitteilungen des deutschen archäologischen Instituts, Abteilung Kairo 19, pp. 54-96.

1964 Die Form der altägyptischen Literatur: Metrische und stilistische Analyse. Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 91, pp. 11-63.

1965 Die Form der altägyptischen Literatur: Metrische und stilistische Analyse (Schluss). Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 92, pp. 10-32.

1970 Stilistische Kunst. In Handbuch der Orientalistik, Erste Abteilung: Der Nahe und der Mittlere Osten I: Ägyptologie, zweiter Abschnitt: Literatur, ed. Bertold Spuler, pp. 19-51. Leiden and Köln: Brill.

Firchow, Otto

1953 Untersuchungen zur ägyptischen Stilistik II: Grundzüge der Stilistik in den altägyptischen Pyramidentexten. Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institut für Orientforschung, Veröffentlichung 21. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Fischer-Elfert, Hans-Werner

1986 Die satirische Streitschrift des Papyrus Anastasi I: Übersetzung und Kommentar. Ägyptologische Abhandlungen 44. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Genette, Gérard

1966 Figures I. Paris: Éditions du Seuil.

1996 Die restringierte Rhetorik. In *Theorie der Metapher*, ed. Anselm Haverkamp, pp. 229-252. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

Gerhards, Simone

2021 Konzepte von Müdigkeit und Schlaf im alten Ägypten. Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur, Beihefte 23. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.

Glucksberg, Sam

2001 Understanding figurative language: From metaphors to idioms. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Glucksberg, Sam, and Catrinal Haught

2006 On the relation between metaphor and simile: When comparison fails. *Mind & Language* 21, pp. 360-378. Goldwasser, Orly

1992 The Narmer Palette and the "triumph of metaphor." Lingua Aegyptia 2, pp. 67-85.

2002 Prophets, lovers and giraffes: Wor(l)d classification in ancient Egypt. Classification and Categorization in Ancient Egypt 3; Göttinger Orientforschungen IV. Reihe: Ägypten 38. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

2006 On the new definition of classifier languages and scripts. Lingua Aegyptia 14, pp. 473-484.

2009 A comparison between classifier language and classifier script: The case of ancient Egyptian. In Egyptian, Semitic and general grammar: Studies in memory of H. J. Polotsky, ed. Gideon Goldenberg and Ariel Shisha-Halevy, pp. 16-39. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Grapow, Hermann

1920 Vergleiche und andere bildliche Ausdrücke im Ägyptischen. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs.

1924 Die bildliche Ausdrücke des Ägyptischen: Vom Denken und Dichten einer altorientalischen Sprache. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs.

1952 Untersuchungen zur ägyptischen Stilistik I: Der stilistische Bau der Geschichte des Sinuhe. Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institut für Orientforschung, Veröffentlichung 10. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Guglielmi, Waltraud

- 1986a Stilmittel. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Band VI, ed. Wolfgang Helck and Eberhard Otto, columns 22-41. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 1986b Vergleich. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Band VI, ed. Wolfgang Helck and Eberhard Otto, columns 986-989. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 1996 Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur. In *Ancient Egyptian literature: History and forms*, ed. Antonio Loprieno, pp. 465-498. Leiden, New York, and Köln: Brill.

Hermann, Siegfried

1954 Steuerruder, Waage, Herz und Zunge in ägyptischen Bildreden. Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 79, pp. 106-115.

Hintze, Fritz

1950- Untersuchungen zu Stil und Sprache neuägyptischer Erzählungen [I-II]. Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Institut für Orientforschung: Veröffentlichung 2 (1950 – 1952). Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Hoffmann, Friedhelm, and Joachim Quack

2007 Anthologie der demotischen Literatur. Einführungen und Quellentexte zur Ägyptologie 4. Berlin and Münster: LIT Verlag.

Hsu, Shih-Wei

- 2013 Figurative expressions referring to animals in royal inscriptions of the 18th Dynasty. *Journal of Egyptian History* 6(1), pp. 1-18.
- 2014a The use of figurative language concerning the death of the king. Archiv Orientální 82(2), pp. 201-209.
- 2014b The images of love: The use of figurative expressions in ancient Egyptian love songs. *Orientalia* 83(4), pp. 407-416.
- 2017a You up I down: Orientational metaphors concerning ancient Egyptian kingship in royal iconography and inscriptions. In *Proceedings of the XIth International Congress of Egyptologists, Florence Egyptian Museum, Florence, 23 30 August 2015*, ed. Gloria Rosati and Maria Cristina Guidotti, pp. 283-286. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- 2017b Bilder für den Pharao: Untersuchungen zu bildlichen Ausdrücken des Ägyptischen in den Königsinschriften und anderen Textgattungen. Leiden and Boston: Brill.
- A comparison of figurative language in royal inscriptions: A case study of the stelae of Thutmose III and Pi(ankh)y. In *Ancient Egypt 2017: Perspectives of Research*, Travaux de l'Institut des cultures méditerranéennes et orientales de l'Academie polonaise des sciences, ed. Maria Helena Trindade Lopes, Joanna Popielska-Grzybowska, Jadwiga Iwaszczuk, and Ronaldo Gurgel Pereira, pp. 85-95. Warsaw and Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 2021a "I wish I could die": Depression in ancient Egypt. In *The expression of emotions in ancient Egypt and Mesopotamia*, ed. Shih-Wei Hsu and Jaume Llop Raduà, pp. 52-87. Leiden: Brill.
- 2021b The ancient Egyptian idea of "death" in conceptual metaphor theory. *Aula orientalis* 39(1), pp. 97-107. Jäger, Stephan
- 2004 Altägyptische Berufstypologien. Lingua Aegyptia Studia Monographica 4. Göttingen: Seminar für Ägyptologie und Koptologie.

Jansen-Winkeln, Karl

- 1985 Ägyptische Biographien der 22. und 23. Dynastie. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 2004 Lebenslehre und Biographien. Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 131, pp. 59-72.

Junge, Friedrich

2003 Die Lehre Ptahhoteps und die Tugenden der ägyptischen Welt. Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Kitchen, Kenneth A.

- 1979 Ramesside inscriptions, historical and biographical II. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 1982 Ramesside inscriptions, historical and biographical IV. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 1983 Ramesside inscriptions, historical and biographical V. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 1997 Ramesside inscriptions, translated and annotated: Translations II. Oxford: Blackwell.

Kloth, Nicole

Die (auto-)biographischen Inschriften des ägyptischen Alten Reiches: Untersuchungen zu Phraseologie und Entwicklung. Hamburg: Buske.

Koch, Roland

1990 Die Erzählung des Sinuhe. Brussels: Éditions de la Fondation égyptologique Reine Élisabeth.

Köhler, Ines

- 2011 Rage like an Egyptian: The conceptualization of anger. In *Current research in Egyptology 2010: Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Symposium, Leiden University, January 2010*, ed. Maarten Horn, Joost Kramer, Daniel Soliman, et al., pp. 81-96. Oxford and Oakville, CT: Oxbow.
- 2012 "Du Pharao ich Hulk": Wahrnehmung und Versprachlichung von Wut. In Sozialisationen: Individuum Gruppe Gesellschaft: Beiträge des ersten Münchner Arbeitskreises Junge Aegyptologie (MAJA 1), 3. bis 5.12.2010, ed. Gregor Neunert, Kathrin Gabler, and Alexandra Verbovsek, pp. 127-138. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- 2016 Rage like an Egyptian: Die Möglichkeiten eines kognitiv-semantischen Zugangs zum altägyptischen Wortschatz am Beispiel des Wortfelds /WUT/. Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur, Beihefte 18. Hamburg: Helmut Buske.

Laisney, Vincent Pierre-Michel

2007 L'enseignement d'Aménémopé. Studia Pohl: Series Maior 19. Roma: Pontificio Istituto biblico.

Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson

1980 Metaphors we live by. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Landgráfová, Renata

2008 Breaches of cooperative rules: Metaphors and parody in ancient Egyptian love songs. In Sex and gender in ancient Egypt: "Don your wig for a joyful hour," ed. Carolyn Graves-Brown, pp. 71-82. Swansea: The Classical Press of Wales.

Lepper, Verena

2008 Untersuchungen zu pWestcar: Eine philologische und literaturwissenschaftliche (Neu-) Analyse. Ägyptologische Abhandlungen 70. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Mathieu, Bernard

1996 La poésie amoureuse de l'Égypte ancienne: Recherches sur un genre littéraire au Nouvel Empire. Bibliothèque d'étude 115. Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Moers, Gerald

- 2001 Fingierte Welten in der ägyptischen Literatur des 2. Jahrtausends v. Chr.: Grenzüberschreitung, Reisemotiv und Fiktionalität. Leiden, Boston, and Köln: Brill.
- "Unter den Sohlen Pharaos": Fremdheit und Alterität im pharaonischen Ägypten. In Abgrenzung Eingrenzung: Komparatistische Studien zur Dialektik kultureller Identitätsbildung, ed. Frank Lauterbach, Fritz Paul, and Ulrike-Christine Sander, pp. 81-160. Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Motte, Aurore

2017 Reden und Rufe, a neglected genre? Towards a definition of the speech captions in private tombs. Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale 117, pp. 293-317.

Nyord, Rune

- 2009a Taking phenomenology to heart: Some heuristic remarks on studying ancient Egyptian embodied experience. In "Being in ancient Egypt": Thoughts on agency, materiality and cognition: Proceedings of the seminar held in Copenhagen, September 29 30, 2006, ed. Rune Nyord and Annette Kjølby, pp. 63-74. Oxford: Archaeopress.
- 2009b Breathing flesh: Conceptions of the body in the ancient Egyptian Coffin Texts. CNI Publications 37. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.
- 2012 Prototype structures and conceptual metaphor: Cognitive approaches to lexical semantics in ancient Egyptian. In *Lexical semantics in ancient Egyptian*, ed. Eitan Grossman, Stéphane Polis, and Jean Winand, pp. 141-174. Hamburg: Widmaier.
- 2015 Cognitive linguistics. In *UCLA encyclopedia of Egyptology*, ed. Julie Stauder-Porchet, Andréas Stauder, and Willeke Wendrich. Los Angeles. http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002k44p6.
- Analogy and metaphor in ancient medicine and the ancient Egyptian conceptualisation of heat in the body. In *The comparable body: Analogy and metaphor in ancient Mesopotamian, Egyptian, and Greco-Roman medicine*, ed. John Wee, pp. 12-42. Leiden and Boston: Brill.

Osing, Jürgen

1977 Gleichnis. In *Lexikon der Ägyptologie*, Band II, ed. Wolfgang Helck and Eberhard Otto, columns 614-624. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Parkinson, Richard B.

- 1991 The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant. Translated by Richard B. Parkinson. Oxford: Griffith Institute.
- 1992 Literary form and the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant. Journal of Egyptian Archaeology 78, pp. 163-178.
- 2006 Sinuhe's dreaming(s): The texts and meanings of a simile. In *Through a glass darkly: Magic, dreams & prophecy in ancient Egypt*, ed. Kasia Szpakowska, pp. 145-175. Swansea: Classical Press of Wales.

UCLA ENCYCLOPEDIA OF

2012 The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant: A reader's commentary. Lingua Aegyptia – Studia Monographica 10. Hamburg: Widmaier.

Popielska-Grzybowska, Joanna

2009 Figuratively speaking...: The question of the use of figurative language in the Pyramid Texts. In Proceedings of the Third Central European Conference of Young Egyptologists: Egypt 2004: Perspectives of Research, Warsaw 12 – 14 May, 2004, ed. Joanna Popielska-Grzybowska, Olga Białostocka, and Jadwiga Iwaszczuk, pp. 157-163. Pułtusk: Institute of Anthropology and Archaeology.

Posener, Georges

1976 L'enseignement loyaliste: Sagesse égyptienne du Moyen Empire. Centre de Recherches d'histoire et de philologie de la IVe Section de l'École pratique des Hautes Études II; Hautes Études Orientales 5. Geneva: Librairie Drost.

Quack, Joachim Friedrich

1992 Studien zur Lehre für Merikare. Göttinger Orientforschungen IV. Reihe: Ägypten, Band 23. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Quintilian

1959 *Institutes of oratory*. Translated by H. E. Butler. Loeb Classical Library 126: Quintilian Volume III. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; London: Heinemann Ltd.

Ranke, Hermann

1935 Die ägyptischen Personennamen, Band I: Verzeichnis der Namen. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.

1952 Die ägyptischen Personennamen, Band II: Einleitung; Form und Inhalte der Namen; Geschichte der Namen; Vergleiche mit anderen Namen; Nachträge und Zusätze zu Band I; Umschreibungsliste. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.

1977 Die ägyptischen Personennamen, Band III: Verzeichnis der Bestandteile. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin.

Richards, Paul

1965 The philosophy of rhetoric. New York: Oxford University Press.

Ricœur, Paul

2003 The rule of metaphor. London and New York: Routledge.

Schenkel, Wolfgang

1965 Memphis – Herakleopolis – Theben: Die epigraphischen Zeugnisse der 7. – 11. Dynastie Ägyptens. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

1984 Sonst – jetzt: Variationen eines literarischen Formelements. Die Welt des Orients 15, pp. 51-61.

Schirren, Thomas

2008 Rhetorik und Stilistik der griechischen Antike/Rhetoric and stylistics in ancient Greece. In Rhetorik und Stilistik/Rhetoric and stylistics, Handbooks of Linguistics and Communication Science 31.1, ed. Ulla Fix, Andreas Gardt, and Joachim Knape, pp. 1-24. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Sethe, Kurt (ed.)

1927 Urkunden der 18. Dynastie: Heft 1. Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs.

Simpson, William Kelly (ed.)

2003 The literature of ancient Egypt: An anthology of stories, instructions, stelae, autobiographies, and poetry. Third edition. New Haven, CT; London: Yale University Press.

Steinbach, Elisabeth

2015 "Ich habe seinen Anblick geschmeckt...": Verben der Wahrnehmung und die semantischen Beziehungen zwischen Perzeption und Kognition. In *Text: Wissen – Wirkung – Wahrnehmung: Beiträge des vierten Münchner Arbeitskreises Junge Ägyptologie (MAJA 4), 29.11. bis 1.12.2013*, ed. Gregor Neunert, Henrike Simon, Alexandra Verbovsek, and Kathrin Gabler, pp. 209-225. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

Stevnor, Linda

2011 The function of metaphor in the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant. In *Current research in Egyptology 2010: Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Symposium*, ed. Maarten Horn, Joost Kramer, Daniel Soliman, et al., pp. 157-173. Oxford: Oxbow books.

Tacke, Nikolaus

2001 Verspunkte als Gliederungsmittel in ramessidischen Handschriften. Heidelberg: Heidelberger Orientverlag.

Tait, John

Anger and agency: The role of the emotions in Demotic and earlier narratives. In "Being in ancient Egypt": Thoughts on agency, materiality and cognition: Proceedings of the seminar held in Copenhagen, September 29 – 30, 2006, ed. Rune Nyord and Annette Kjølby, pp. 75-82. Oxford: Archaeopress.

Toro Rueda, María Isabel

2003 Das Herz in der ägyptischen Literatur des zweiten Jahrtausends v. Chr.: Untersuchungen zu Idiomatik und Metaphorik von Ausdrücken mit jb und hstj. Ph.D. dissertation: Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Vandier, Jacques

1950 *Mo alla: La tombe d'Ankhtifi et la tombe de Sébekhotep.* Bibliothèque d'étude 18. Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Vernus, Pascal

2001 Sagesses de l'Égypte pharaonique. Paris: Imprimerie Nationale.

2020 Sur l'euphémisme en général et sur l'euphémisme par antiphrase (a contrario) en particulier: Son incidence sur l'interprétation des textes: La mort de Ramsès III. In Ein Kundiger, der in die Gottesworte eingedrungen ist: Festschrift für den Ägyptologen Karl Jansen-Winkeln zum 65. Geburtstag, ed. Shih-Wei Hsu, Vincent Pierre-Michel Laisney, and Jan Moje, pp. 283-316. Münster: Zaphon.

Vittmann, Günter

2013 Personal names: Function and significance. In UCLA encyclopedia of Egyptology, ed. Elizabeth Frood and Willeke Wendrich. Los Angeles. http://digital2.library.ucla.edu/viewItem.do?ark=21198/zz002dwqr7

von Deines, Hildegard, Hermann Grapow, and Wolfhart Westendorf

1958 Übersetzung der medizinischen Texte: Erläuterungen. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Werning, Daniel A.

Der "Kopf des Beines," der "Mund der Arme" und die "Zähne" des Schöpfers: Zu metonymischen und metaphorischen Verwendungen von Körperteil-Lexemen im Hieroglyphisch-Ägyptischen. In *Synthetische Körperauffassung im Hebräischen und den Sprachen der Nachbarkulturen*, ed. Katrin Müller and Andreas Wagner, pp. 107-161. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

Žába, Zbyněk

1956 Les Maximes de Ptaḥḥotep. Prague: Nakladatelství Československé Akademie Věd.

Zhu, Lei

2017 On the origin of the term tertium comparationis. Language & History 60, pp. 35-52.