

UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

Using an 'Oral Board' Exam to Assess for EPA 10 in the Emergency Medicine Rotation

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v67r8f6>

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 19(4.1)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Carmelli, G
Sinert, R
Fan, L

Publication Date

2018

Copyright Information

Copyright 2018 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Key Performance Indicators	Corresponding State or Federal Metrics & Measures	Corresponding EM Professional Society Metrics & Measures	Corresponding ACGME Milestones & ABEM Models of Clinical Practice
ED Admission Rate	NHAMCS: ED Visits Resulting in Hospital Admission	EDBA Proportion Metrics: Total Number of Patients Admitted from the ED	ACGME Milestone #7: Disposition (PC7): Establishes and implements a comprehensive disposition plan that uses appropriate consultation resources; patient education regarding diagnosis; treatment plan; medications; and time and location specific disposition instructions.
ED Handoff Documentation	JCAHO National Patient Safety Goals #2E: Implement a Standard Approach to Handoff	ACEP: Safer Signout Protocol	ACGME Milestone #18: Technology (SBP3): Uses technology to accomplish and document safe healthcare delivery.
ED Arrival to Provider Time	NHAMCS: Wait Time at ED Visits: Time Spent Waiting to See a MD, DO, NP, or PA	EDBA Timestamp and Interval Metrics: Arrival to Provider Contact Time	ACGME Milestone #8: Multitasking (Task-switching) (PC8): Employs task switching in an efficient and timely manner in order to manage the ED.
ED Arrival to Disposition, Discharge, and Departure Times	NQMC #010135: Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Admitted ED Patients NQMC #010431: Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients	EDBA Timestamp and Interval Metrics: Disposition Decision Time	ACGME Milestone #17: Systems-Based Management (SBP2): Participates in strategies to improve healthcare delivery and flow. Demonstrates an awareness and responsiveness to the larger context and system of health care.
Patients per Hour		EDBA Productivity Metrics: Pts per Hour	ABEM Models of Clinical Practice 20.4.2.2: Patient Flow and Throughput
Sepsis Scorecard*	NYDOH Sepsis Adherence Measures: Six metrics based on National Quality Forum Measure NQF#0500 and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Measure CMS SEP-1*	ACEP#27: Sepsis Management: Septic Shock: Antibiotics Ordered	ABEM Models of Clinical Practice 10.1.7: Sepsis/Bacteremia

NQMC: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality National Quality Measures Clearinghouse; NHAMCS: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey; JCAHO: Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations National Patient Safety Goals; EDBA: ED Benchmarking Alliance Consensus Summit; NYDOH: New York Department of Health; ACGME/ABEM: American College of Emergency Physicians/American Board of Emergency Medicine

*Includes the following six metrics for patients with severe sepsis/septic shock: 1) Initial Lactate Drawn in <1 Hour; 2) Antibiotics Given in <1 Hour; 3) Two Blood Cultures Drawn Before Antibiotics; 4) Two Blood Cultures Drawn in <1 Hour; 5) IV Fluid Bolus Initiated in <1 Hour; and 6) 30cc/kg IV Fluid Bolus Given.

21 Using an ‘Oral Board’ Exam to Assess for EPA 10 in the Emergency Medicine Rotation

Carmelli G, Sinert R, Fan L, /SUNY Downstate Medical Center/ Kings County Hospital Center, Brooklyn, New York

Background: The Association of American Medical College encourages medical schools to use 13 Entrustable Professional Activities (EPAs) as a framework for assessing student preparedness for residency. The Emergency Medicine (EM) clerkship provides an appropriate clinical setting to observe, practice and therefore assess EPA 10: “recognize a patient requiring urgent or emergent care and initiate evaluation and

management.” This important skill is one in which many medical students have shown difficulty with. Medical schools use various techniques to evaluate for EPA 10, some using simulation, while others using an objective structured clinical exam. Oral exams have been studied in other specialties, but haven’t been studied in EM or in evaluating for EPA 10.

Educational Objectives:

- Develop an assessment method that can evaluate students in EPA 10.
- Design case scenarios that can be used to evaluate student performance.
- Identify critical actions and create an assessment tool for evaluation of student performance.

Curricular Design: The ‘oral board’ exam is used by the American Board of Emergency Medicine to certify practitioners as competent in all aspects of EM care. We decided to use this style of exam to assess for EPA 10 during our EM rotation. We created 3 case scenarios, which were given by faculty and/or selected senior residents at the end of the rotation. The cases are: 1) Trauma with pneumothorax and intraperitoneal bleeding, 2) Chest pain secondary to a pulmonary embolism, and 3) Altered mental status with UTI/sepsis. All cases require the student to evaluate the ABC’s, initiate appropriate treatment, obtain adequate help, and communicate with other providers.

Impact/Effectiveness: To assess whether the oral exams evaluate different or redundant variables to that of the medical students’ clinical scores or their NBME shelf exam scores, we calculated a Spearman Rank Order Correlation. Comparing the oral exam to the shelf exam produced a p-value of 0.558, so the correlation was not statistically significant. Furthermore, comparing the oral exam to the clinical scores produced a p-value of 0.457, also not statistically significant. Therefore, there was no statistically significant correlation between the oral and shelf exams, or the oral and clinical scores. This confirms that the oral exam evaluates different, non-redundant variables than the clinical and NBME shelf scores.

Correlations			Oralexam	Clinscore
Spearman's rho	Oralexam	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.049
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.457
		N	233	233
Clinscore	Oralexam	Correlation Coefficient	.049	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.457	.
		N	233	233

