UC Berkeley
Technical Completion Reports

Title
Mass transfer and kinetics study of the ozonation of refractory organics in waste waters

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1gj1f7pw

Authors
Rinker, Edward B
Ashour, Sami S
Rinker, Robert G
et al.

Publication Date
1996-08-01

Mass Transfer and Kinetics Study of the Ozonation of Refractory Organics in Waste Waters

by

Edward B. Rinker

Sami S. Ashour

Robert G. Rinker and Orville C. Sandall

Department of Chemical Engineering
University of California, Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, CA   93106-5080

TECHNICAL COMPLETION REPORT
Project Number W-838
August, 1996
University of California Water Resources Center

Abstract

Treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater containing refractory organic compounds is of primary concern. In this research, the feasibility of ozonation as a treatment process for the removal of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol was studied. The chemical kinetics of the reaction between 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP) and ozone were determined.

A wetted-sphere absorber was used to measure rates of absorption of ozone into aqueous buffered solutions of TCP. Gas consisting of approximately 2.5% ozone in oxygen was contacted with aqueous buffered TCP solution flowing over a sphere in a laminar liquid film. Absorption data were obtained by measuring the change in the liquid phase concentration of TCP from the inlet to the outlet of the absorber. A rigorous numerical model for this diffusion/reaction process was used to analyze the absorption data in order to determine the second-order rate constant of the reaction between ozone and TCP. Results were obtained over the temperature range of 15 to 35°C at pH values of 2 and 7. The kinetic data indicate that the rate limiting step for the reaction of aqueous TCP with ozone is the same at pH 2 and 7. Four reaction products which occur early in the reaction of ozone with aqueous TCP were identified by GCMS, including: 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol (TRCP), 4,6-dichlorocatechol (DCC), 2,6-dichlorohydroquinone (DCHQ), and 2,6-dichloroquinone (DCQ). Only DCHQ and DCQ were found to occur in significant concentrations. Over the pH range of 2 to 5, both DCHQ and DCQ were detected in the reacted solutions, while for pH 6 and 7 only DCHQ was detected. Over longer ozone exposure times, it was found by carbon-13 NMR analysis that short chain carboxylic acids are the dominant species in the reacted TCP solutions.

The kinetics of the ozonation of DCHQ were also determined at 25°C.

Key Words: Wastewater Treatment, Ozonation, Environmental Engineering

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

MASS TRANSFER AND KINETICS STUDY OF THE OZONATION
OF REFRACTORY ORGANICS IN WASTE WATERS

Problem and Research Objectives of Work Performed

Treatment of industrial and municipal waste water containing organic pollutants is of primary concern. Ozonation of these waste waters has been effective in oxidizing organic pollutants into harmless compounds (CO_2 and H_2O) as well as breaking up refractory compounds into different compounds which are more easily treated with cheaper, more conventional methods such as biodegradation, ion exchange and filtration.

In order to effectively treat waste water with ozone and design appropriate treatment processes, reaction kinetics and reaction products must be determined. In addition, ozone solubilities and diffusivities must be known in order to model the diffusion/reaction process in this gas-liquid system.

There have been many studies of ozone reactions with aqueous organic compounds, however, very little kinetic data have been reported with the exception of the ozone reaction with phenol. The reaction of aqueous phenol with ozone has been extensively studied and the reaction kinetics and many of the reaction products have been determined (Eisenhauer, 1968; Gould and Weber, 1976; Augugliaro and Rizzuti, 1978; Li et al., 1979; and Hoigne and Bader, 1983). Hoigne and Bader (1983) report second-order rate constants for a large number of organic compounds at 20°C and for various pH values.

Of all the organic compounds found in waste water, chlorinated aromatic compounds are among the most toxic and the most difficult to remove using conventional treatment techniques (e.g. biodegradation). Wegman and Van Den Broek (1983) reported that chlorinated phenolic compounds (including 2,4,6-trichlorophenol) were found in nearly all the rivers in the Netherlands that they studied. Chlorinated phenols are toxic and are suspected carcinogens. These compounds may be present in water as direct industrial pollution or as products of the chlorination of waste water containing phenol or other

aromatic compounds. Studies of ozonation of chlorinated phenolic compounds is of interest for waste water treatment.

The objective of this work is to determine the second-order rate constant of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) as a function of temperature and solution pH. The kinetic rate constants will be estimated from absorption data obtained in a wetted-sphere absorber at pH values of 2 and 7 and over the temperature range of 15 to 35°C. The reaction products and reaction sequence will be determined by carrying out batch reactions and analyzing the solutions using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry. In addition, an estimate of the second-order rate constant of the reaction of 2,5-dichlorohydroquinone (2,5-DCHQ) with ozone will be determined at a pH of 2 and 25°C.

Review of Methodology Used

Experimental

Reagents. The ozone used in this work was generated with a Model T-408 Welsbach Ozone Generator. Pure, dry oxygen was used as the feed gas to the generator which was operated at 110 volts and a pressure of about 9 psig. Buffer solutions were prepared from sodium hydroxide pellets, concentrated phosphoric acid, and deionized water. All buffers were prepared with an ionic strength of 0.1 M. The 2,4,6-trichlorophenol was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company with a minimum purity of 98%. The 2,5-dichlorohydroquinone was obtained from Acros Organics with a purity of 97%. The toluene and ethyl ether used for extraction were obtained from Fisher Scientific with minimum purities of 99.9%.

2

Wetted-Sphere Absorber. The kinetics of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,6-TCP were estimated from absorption data obtained in a wetted-sphere absorber. The apparatus is described in detail by Rinker et al. (1995). A diagram of the wetted-sphere absorber is shown in Figure 1. The principle of the wetted-sphere absorber is to contact a gas (ozone) with a liquid (aqueous 2,4,6-TCP or 2,5-DCHQ) under conditions where the hydrodynamics and geometry are well defined so that the reaction/diffusion process can be modeled effectively and accurately. Since the wetted-sphere operates under steady-state conditions, the extent of reaction is monitored by simply measuring the liquid flowrate and the concentration of 2,4,6-TCP or 2,5-DCHQ at the inlet and outlet of the apparatus. The ozone-oxygen gas mixture from the ozone generator flowed at a rate of 275 ml/min continuously through the absorption chamber of the absorber for all experiments. The liquid flowrate over the sphere was approximately 50 to 60 ml/min.

Gas-phase Ozone Analysis. In order to model the absorption process, the bulk gas-phase partial pressure of ozone must be measured for each experiment. The ozone partial pressure was determined by sparging the oxygen-ozone mixture of known flowrate through a 2 wt% solution of potassium iodide in deionized water for a known length of time. The solution was acidified with 1.0 M sulfuric acid and titrated with 0.05 M sodium thiosulfate. Soluble starch was used as an indicator. The titration endpoint was designated by a brilliant blue-to-colorless color change.

Extraction of Aqueous Samples. In order to do gas chromatography (GC) analysis on the aqueous samples from the wetted-sphere absorber, the unreacted organic must be removed from the buffered aqueous solution because the ions in the buffer can cause irreparable damage to the GC column. The 2,4,6-TCP was extracted from 30 gram samples of aqueous buffered solution with 3 grams of toluene in a 40 ml sample vial capped with a screw top fitted with a removable Teflon coated septum. Extractions of

3

standard solutions of aqueous 2,4,6-TCP were used to correlate the GC areas with the aqueous concentration of 2,4,6-TCP. For the 2,5-DCHQ samples, the unreacted 2,5-DCHQ was extracted from 100 grams of aqueous sample with 100 ml of ethyl ether twice. The ether was then completely evaporated at room temperature, and 1.0 ml of ethyl ether was added. Samples of the extract in ether were then analyzed by GC.

Gas Chromatography Analysis. A Perkin-Elmer (Model 8500) gas chromatograph was used to analyze the extracted samples. The GC was equipped with a 30 m long, 0.54 mm ID Alltech capillary column. The stationary phase of the column was SE-54 with a film thickness of 1.2 μm. The GC was equipped with a FID detector. The operating conditions of the GC method used to analyze the 2,4,6-TCP/toluene samples are as follows:

Carrier Gas:	Helium
Flowrate:	16 ml/min
Oven T:	90°C for 0.5 min ramped to 240°C at 30 °C/min, hold at 240°C for 1.5 min.
Injector T:	300°C
Detector T:	300°C
Sample size:	1.0 μl

A minimum of three injections were done for each sample with a maximum average deviation of 2%. The GC method used to analyze the 2,5-DCHQ/ether samples is as follows:

Carrier Gas:	Helium
Flowrate:	10 ml/min
Oven T:	40°C for 0.5 min ramped to 240°C at 15°C/min hold at 240 °C for 6.2 min.
Injector T:	300°C
Detector T:	300°C
Sample size:	1.0 μl

4

Batch Reactor Studies and Product Identification. While the wetted-sphere absorber works well for obtaining absorption data for estimating kinetic rate constants, it does not lend itself to product identification because so little 2,4,6-TCP is consumed because of the short exposure times of about 0.5 seconds. A batch reactor was used to carry out product identification experiments and to observe product distribution as a function of time. The reactor consisted of a 250 ml flask fitted with a sparging stone. The ozone was sparged through 200 ml of aqueous buffered 2,4,6-TCP solution which was stirred with a magnetic stir-bar. The 2,4,6-TCP solutions were sparged with ozone for times ranging from 0.5 to 16 min. The solutions were extracted with 100 ml of ethyl ether. The ether was then evaporated at room temperature until about 1 ml of extract remained to concentrate the 2,4,6-TCP and reaction products. Samples of the concentrated extract were injected into the Perkin-Elmer GC which gave several peaks corresponding to reaction products. The GC operating conditions for the analysis of the ether extracts was the same as that used to analyze the 2,5-DCHQ/ether samples described above.

Several samples of concentrated extract were analyzed using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GCMS) in order to identify the reaction products. The GCMS system consisted of a Hewlett Packard Model 5890 Series II gas chromatograph and a Hewlett Packard Model 5971A mass spectrometer. The column was a 50 m long, 0.2 mm ID Pona column with a stationary phase of methyl silicone gum with a thickness of 0.5 μm. The mass spectra of the reaction products were compared with spectra from the NIST/EPA/NIH 75K Mass Spectra Database in order to identify the products.

Data Analysis and Modeling

In order to estimate the second-order rate constant of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,6-TCP or 2,5-DCHQ from the absorption data obtained in the wetted-sphere absorber, the hydrodynamics and mass transfer processes occurring in the liquid film on

5

the sphere must be modeled. The development of the model used to interpret the data from this study is presented below.

Model Development. Higbie's penetration model (Higbie, 1935; Danckwerts, 1970) was used to set up the diffusion/reaction partial differential equations which describe the absorption of O_3 into aqueous solutions of 2,4,6-TCP or 2,5-DCHQ in a wetted-sphere absorber. The following irreversible, second-order, overall reaction was assumed to take place:

$$O_3 + Organic \xrightarrow{\quad k_2 \quad} products, \tag{1}$$

with the rate of reaction given by:

$$r_{O_3} = -k_2[O_3][Organic]. \tag{2}$$

For laminar liquid flow over a sphere, we will use the results of Lynn *et al.* (1955) to describe the liquid film hydrodynamics. From their results, the film thickness, Δ, as a function of position, θ, is given by the following equation:

$$\Delta = \Delta_1 \sin^{-2/3}\theta \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \left(\frac{3\nu Q}{2\pi Rg}\right)^{1/3} \tag{4}$$

and Δ_1 is the thickness of the liquid film at the equator of the sphere ($\theta = \pi/2$), R is the radius of the sphere, Q is the volumetric liquid flow rate, ν is the kinematic viscosity of the liquid, and g is the acceleration of gravity. The velocity profile for the laminar liquid flow over a sphere is given by the following equation:

$$u = \frac{3Q}{4\pi R\Delta_1}\left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{\Delta}\right)^2\right]\sin^{-1/3}\theta \tag{5}$$

where x is a length coordinate measured from the gas-liquid interface in the negative radial direction, $x = R + \Delta - r$. The following dimensionless spatial variables (Wild and Potter, 1968):

$$y = \frac{x}{\Delta} \tag{6}$$

$$d\eta = \frac{R D_A}{u^* \Delta^2} d\theta = \frac{4\pi R^2 D_A}{3 Q \Delta_1} \left(\sin^{5/3} \theta \right) d\theta \tag{7}$$

are used to semi-nondimensionalize the partial differential equations which describe the diffusion–reaction processes; the concentrations will be left in their dimensional form. u^* is the interfacial liquid velocity which is obtained from equation (5) by setting $x = 0$.

If we let A refer to O_3 and B refer to the liquid-phase organic reactant, then the following partial differential equations describe the diffusion–reaction processes:

O_3 balance:

$$\frac{\partial [A]}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial^2 [A]}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\Delta_1^2}{D_A} k_2 [A][B] \left(\sin^{-4/3} \theta \right) \tag{8}$$

2,4,6-TCP balance:

$$\frac{\partial [B]}{\partial \eta} = \frac{D_B}{D_A} \frac{\partial^2 [B]}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\Delta_1^2}{D_A} k_2 [A][B] \left(\sin^{-4/3} \theta \right) \tag{9}$$

We have two unknowns, $[A]$ and $[B]$, and two partial differential equations which we can solve for the concentrations of both chemical species.

Initial Condition:

At $\eta = 0$ (for all $y \geq 0$), the concentrations of the chemical species are equal to their liquid bulk concentrations:

$$[A] = [A]^o \text{ and } [B] = [B]^o . \tag{10}$$

7

Boundary Condition at Gas-Liquid Interface (y = 0):

At $y = 0$ (gas-liquid interface), the flux of the non-volatile species is equal to zero, which leads to the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial [B]}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \ \eta > 0. \tag{11}$$

For the volatile component (O_3), the mass transfer rate in the gas near the interface is equal to the mass transfer rate in the liquid near the interface. This leads to the following boundary condition:

$$-\left(\sin^{2/3}\theta\right)\frac{D_A}{\Delta_1}\frac{\partial [A]}{\partial y}\bigg|_{y=0} = k_g\left(P_A - [A]\big|_{y=0}H_A\right) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \ \eta > 0 \tag{12}$$

where H_A is the physical equilibrium constant (Henry's law constant) of O_3 which is defined as the interfacial partial pressure of O_3 in the gas, P_A^*, divided by the interfacial concentration of O_3 in the liquid, $[A]^*$. The symbol, k_g, is the gas-phase mass transfer coefficient which had a value of 2.65×10^{-5} kmol/(atm m^2 s) for all experiments.

Boundary Condition at Sphere Surface (y = 1):

At the surface of the sphere ($y = 1$), the boundary condition is given by:

$$\frac{\partial [A]}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial [B]}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ at } y = 1, \ \eta > 0. \tag{13}$$

Numerical Implementation.   The differential equations are integrated from $\eta = 0$ ($\theta = 0$) to $\eta = \eta_2$ ($\theta = \pi$). The upper limit of integration is defined by the following equation:

8

$$\eta_2 = \frac{4\pi R^2 D_A}{3Q\Delta_1} \int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{5/3} \, d\theta = \frac{4\pi R^2 D_A}{3Q\Delta_1}(1.68262) \qquad (14)$$

The gas-liquid contact time is given by (Wild and Potter, 1968):

$$\tau = \frac{4\pi R^2 \Delta_1}{3Q} \int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{1/3} \, d\theta = \frac{4\pi R^2 \Delta_1}{3Q}(2.587) \qquad (15)$$

The total average rate of absorption of O_3 is then computed from the following equation:

$$RA_A = -\frac{3Q}{2} \int_0^{\eta_2} \left. \frac{\partial[A]}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0} \, d\eta. \qquad (16)$$

The method-of-lines was used to transform each partial differential equation into a system of ordinary differential equations in η by discretizing the spatial variable y (Hanna and Sandall, 1995). The finite difference expressions which were used to approximate the spatial derivatives are similar to those which were used elsewhere (Rinker et $al.$, 1995). Typical values for the initial nodal spacing h_0 at the gas-liquid interface are of the order of 10^{-11} and for the total number of interior nodes used are about 35 nodes. The nodal spacings were successively doubled as we moved from the gas-liquid interface toward the sphere surface. Additional details regarding the numerical implementation are given in the paper by Rinker et $al.$ (1995).

The system of partial differential equations was transformed into a larger system of ordinary differential equations which were then integrated by using the code DDEBDF (which is due to L.F. Shampine and H.A. Watts) from the SLATEC subroutine library in double precision FORTRAN on an HP-735 workstation.

The model developed above was used to estimate the forward rate coefficient, k_2, of the overall reaction between ozone and 2,4,6-TCP or 2,5-DCHQ by adjusting the value

of k_2 until the theoretically predicted rate of absorption of O_3 was within 1% of the experimentally measured rate of absorption.

In order to implement the model described above, several physico-chemical properties must be known. The solubility of ozone in the aqueous buffered TCP solutions was estimated using the correlation reported by Roth and Sullivan (1981) for the solubility of ozone in water as a function of pH and temperature.

$$H_A = \frac{6.91 \times 10^8}{\rho_{water}} \left[OH^-\right]^{0.035} \exp\left(\frac{-2428}{T}\right) \qquad (17)$$

where H_A is Henry's constant for ozone in water (atm m³/kmol); T is the temperature (K); and ρ_{water} is the density of water (g/l). The diffusion coefficients of ozone, 2,4,6-TCP, and 2,5-DCHQ in water at 25 °C were estimated from the Wilke-Chang equation to be 1.99×10^{-9} m²/s, 7.82×10^{-10} m²/s, and 2.45×10^{-9} m²/s, respectively. The viscosities used in this work are those of water reported by Al-Ghawas et al. (1989).

Discussion of Results and Their Significance

Absorption experiments with ozone and aqueous 2,4,6-TCP were carried out at pH values of 2 and 7 over the temperature range of 15 to 35°C in the wetted-sphere absorber. The absorption data as well as the physico-chemical property estimates are listed in Table 1. The numerical model was used to analyze the absorption data to obtain estimates for the second-order rate constant (k_2) of the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone. The estimates of k_2 are listed in Table 1 and are plotted in Figure 2 as functions of temperature. The reaction rate of ozone with 2,4,6-TCP is clearly faster at a pH of 7 than at a pH of 2, which is in agreement with the observations of several authors who have studied the reaction between ozone and aqueous phenol (Li et al., 1979; Hoigne and Bader, 1983; Gould and Weber, 1976). Hoigne and Bader (1983) report a second-order rate constant for the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone at a pH of about 1.4 and a temperature of about 20°C as

10

approximately 1.2×10^4 m³/(kmol s) which is in good agreement with the value of 1.44×10^4 m³/(kmol s) at a pH of 2 and 20°C from this study. Arrhenius correlations for k_2, along with the 95% confidence limits for the activation energies, were obtained by least-squares and are given by:

$$k_2 = 4.46 \times 10^{16} \exp\left(\frac{-8450 \pm 1980}{T}\right) \qquad \text{at pH=2} \qquad (18)$$

$$k_2 = 3.20 \times 10^{18} \exp\left(\frac{-9570 \pm 3220}{T}\right) \qquad \text{at pH=7} \qquad (19)$$

It can be seen in Figure 2 and from the Arrhenius correlations that the activation energies for the pH 2 and pH 7 kinetics are nearly the same (well within the accuracy of the least-squares fits), which indicates that the rate limiting step in the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone is the same at pH 2 and 7.

In addition to determining the kinetics of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,6-TCP, several products were identified from batch reactor experiments and GCMS analysis. Four products were identified: 2,6-dichlorohydroquinone (2,6-DCHQ); 2,6-dichloroquinone (2,6-DCQ); 4,6-dichlorocatechol (4,6-DCC); and 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol (TRCP). The concentrations of 4,6-DCC and TRCP were observed to be relatively small compared to 2,4,6-TCP, 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ, and therefore do not seem to be major products of the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone. Obviously, TRCP cannot be formed from a direct reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone, but from a reaction of some chlorine species which was produced from the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone. Since TRCP is a side-product and was only observed in trace amounts, it does not seem likely that the kinetics were significantly affected by the side reaction(s) that formed TRCP. Because of the resonance structure of 2,4,6-TCP, it is possible for an -OH group to be substituted in both the ortho and para positions, and therefore it is not surprising that both 2,6-DCC and 2,6-DCHQ were detected in the reacted samples.

11

However, the formation of 2,6-DCHQ seems to be favored over the formation of 4,6-DCC in this system. The concentrations of 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ were significant which indicates that these are the main products of the reaction of ozone with 2,4,6-TCP, at least in the early stages of ozonation.

Batch reactor experiments were carried out with 2,4,6-TCP and ozone at pH values of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. Both 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ were detected in significant concentrations over the pH range of 2 to 5, while only 2,6-DCHQ was detected for pHs of 6 and 7. Since 2,6-DCHQ is the only product observed at both pH 2 and 7 and the activation energies of the second-order reaction rates are similar within experimental error, it is reasonable to conclude that the rate of 2,4,6-TCP consumption is controlled by a reaction leading to this product.

The relative abundances of 2,4,6-TCP, 2,6-DCHQ, and 2,6-DCQ were determined as functions of time from batch reactor experiments with ozone exposure times of 0.5 min to 8 min. A representative graph of the results from these experiments is shown in Figure 3 for a pH of 2. This graph clearly shows that the concentration of 2,6-DCHQ grows initially and then reaches a maximum while the concentration of 2,6-DCQ grows continuously. This type of behavior indicates that 2,6-DCQ is formed from the oxidation of 2,6-DCHQ either by ozone or by some other reactive oxidizer in solution. However, a parallel reaction scheme cannot be ruled out based on the results shown on Figure 3. If the reaction rate is controlled by the formation of a reaction intermediate that can then react with other species in solution to form both 2,6-DCQ and 2,6-DCHQ, then a parallel reaction scheme is possible. Li et al. (1979) proposed a reaction mechanism in which ozone initiates a set of free-radical chain reactions for the ozone/aqueous phenol system in which the initial formation of the two free-radicals from one molecule of ozone and one molecule of phenol is the rate limiting step. A similar mechanism may exist for the reaction of aqueous 2,4,6-TCP with ozone.

12

In order to determine whether 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ are formed in parallel or in series from the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone, aqueous 2,6-DCHQ can be exposed to ozone and the products can be identified by GCMS. However, 2,6-DCHQ could not be obtained, but we were able to obtain 2,5-DCHQ from Acros Organics. The later would be a product of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,5-TCP. Batch reactor experiments were carried out with aqueous 2,5-DCHQ and ozone at a pH of 2 and the products were identified using GCMS. The only observable product identified by GCMS was 2,5-dichloroquinone (2,5-DCQ). From this we conclude that 2,6-DCQ is formed by further oxidation of 2,6-DCHQ by ozone.

Absorption experiments in the wetted-sphere absorber were carried out with aqueous 2,5-DCHQ at a pH of 2 and 25°C and the results are listed in Table 2. The second-order rate constant was determined using the data and the numerical model to be $(1.7 + 0.6) \times 10^5$ m^3/kmol·s at a pH of 2 and 25°C which is approximately one order of magnitude larger that the rate constant for the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone (2.15×10^4 m^3/kmol·s). This explains why the relative abundance of 2,6-DCHQ in Figure 3 is smaller than that of 2,6-DCQ. While we do not expect the reaction of 2,6-DCHQ with ozone to have the same rate constant as 2,5-DCHQ with ozone, we do expect the order of magnitude of the rate constant to be the same.

After ozonation times of roughly 16 minutes in the batch reactor, no peaks (besides the solvent peak) were observed in the chromatograms of the extracts. It is our belief that eventually the products discussed above degrade into organic acids, ketones and aldehydes which cannot be detected by the GC system used in this work. In order to confirm this, several samples of the concentrated ether extracts from the 16 min runs were combined and all the ether was evaporated at room temperature. The remaining residue was then dissolved in D$_2$O in preparation for C-13 NMR. The spectrum obtained from the C-13 NMR revealed a very strong signal in the 160 to 180 ppm region. The results are by no means conclusive, but the fact that the largest signal was in the 160 to 180 ppm region

13

indicates the presence of a significant number of carboxyl carbons in the solution mixture. This is not surprising since Gould and Weber (1976) found that several two carbon acids and aldehydes (oxalic acid, glyoxalic acid, and glyoxal) are products formed from long term exposure of aqueous phenol to ozone. These compounds probably do not affect the rate of TCP consumption, but knowledge of their presence in the ozonated solutions is important in the design of down-stream treatment processes and in determining ozone demand.

Principal Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations

Ozonation is a technically feasible treatment process for wastewaters containing refractory organics. In this study the chemical kinetics were determined for the aqueous phase ozonation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol. The information found in this work should permit a rational design of a wastewater treating facility to remove 2,4,6-TCP. Other refractory organics that might be amenable to ozonation treatment should be identified and their ozonation kinetics determined. Design and cost studies based on this technical information should then be carried out to determine the economic feasibility of ozonation as a wastewater treatment process.

Summary

A wetted-sphere absorber was used to measure rates of absorption of ozone into aqueous buffered solutions of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) and aqueous 2,5-dichlorohydroquinone (2,5-DCHQ). Gas consisting of approximately 2.5 % ozone in oxygen was contacted with aqueous buffered 2,4,6-TCP solution flowing over a sphere in a laminar liquid film. Absorption data were obtained by measuring the change in the liquid phase concentration of 2,4,6-TCP from the inlet to the outlet of the absorber. A rigorous numerical model for this diffusion/reaction process was used to analyze the absorption data in order to determine the second-order rate constant of the reaction between ozone and 2,4,6-TCP. Results were obtained over the temperature range of 15 to 35°C at pH values of 2 and 7. The kinetic data indicate that the rate limiting step for the reaction of aqueous 2,4,6-TCP with ozone is the same at pH 2 and 7. Four reaction products which occur early in the reaction of ozone with aqueous TCP were identified by GCMS, including: 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol (TRCP), 4,6-dichlorocatechol (4,6-DCC), 2,6-dichlorohydroquinone (2,6-DCHQ), and 2,6-dichloroquinone (2,6-DCQ). Only 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ were found to occur in significant concentrations. Over the pH range of 2 to 5, both 2,6-DCHQ and 2,6-DCQ were detected in the reacted solutions, while for pH 6 and 7 only 2,6-DCHQ was detected. The kinetics of the reaction of 2,5-DCHQ with ozone at a pH of 2 and 25°C were also determined in the wetted-sphere absorber. The second-order rate constant estimate for this reaction is about one order of magnitude larger than the rate constant for the reaction of 2,4,6-TCP with ozone. The only product on the reaction of 2,5-DCHQ with ozone that was detected by GCMS was 2,5-dichloroquinone. Over longer ozone exposure times, it was found by carbon-13 NMR analysis that short chain carboxylic acids are the dominant species in the reacted TCP solutions.

Acknowledgment

Notation

A	ozone (O_3)
$[A]$	concentration of O_3 in the liquid phase (which is a function of y and η), kmol/m^3
$[A]^o$	initial liquid bulk concentration of O_3 (which is a constant), kmol/m^3
$[A]^*$	interfacial concentration of O_3 in the liquid, kmol/m^3
B	2,4,6-trichlorophenol (TCP)
$[B]$	concentration of TCP in the liquid phase (which is a function of y and η), kmol/m^3
$[B]^o$	initial liquid bulk concentration of TCP, kmol/m^3
D_A	diffusion coefficient of O_3 in the aqueous TCP solution, m^2/s
D_B	diffusion coefficient of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in aqueous solution, m^2/s
g	acceleration due to gravity, 9.8 m/s^2
h_0	nodal spacing next to the gas-liquid interface for the discretized nondimensional spatial variable y, $h_0 = y_1 - y_0 = y_1 - 0 = y_1$
H_A	physical equilibrium constant (Henry's law constant) for O_3 in aqueous TCP solutions, $H_A = P_A^*/[A]^*$, (atm m^3)/kmol
k_g	gas-phase mass transfer coefficient for O_3, kmol/(atm m^2 s)
k_2	second-order rate coefficient of reaction (1), m^3/(kmol s)
P_A	partial pressure of O_3 in the gas phase, atm
P_i^*	interfacial partial pressure of O_3 in the gas phase, atm
Q	volumetric flow rate of the liquid, m^3/s
r	independent spatial variable measured from the center of the sphere in the positive radial direction, m
R	radius of the sphere, m
r_{O_3}	overall reaction rate of reaction (1), defined by equation (2), kmol/(m^3 s)

16

RA_A	total average rate of absorption of O_3, defined by equation (16), kmol/s
u	streamwise velocity profile of the liquid flowing over the sphere, defined by equation (5), m/s
u^*	interfacial liquid velocity at $y = 0$, m/s
x	independent spatial variable measured from the gas-liquid interface in the negative radial direction, $x = R + \Delta - r$, m
y	independent dimensionless spatial variable, defined by equation (6)
y_j	discretized spatial variable at node j
TCP	2,4,6-trichlorophenol
DCC	4,6-dichlorocatechol
DCHQ	2,6-dichlorohydroquinone
DCQ	2,6-dichloroquinone
TRCP	2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol

Greek letters

Δ	thickness of the liquid film on the sphere, defined by equation (3), m
Δ_1	thickness of the liquid film at the equator of the sphere, defined by equation (4), m
η	independent nondimensional angular coordinate, defined by equations (7)
η_2	upper integration limit which corresponds to $\theta = \pi$, defined by equation (14)
v	kinematic viscosity of the aqueous 2,4,6-TCP solution, m^2/s
θ	angular coordinate measured from the top of the sphere, radians
τ	gas-liquid contact time, defined by equation (15), s

Sources Consulted

Danckwerts, P.V., 1970. *Gas-Liquid Reactions*, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Hanna, O.T., and O.C. Sandall, 1995. *Computational Methods in Chemical Engineering*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.

Higbie, R., 1935. The rate of absorption of a pure gas into a still liquid during short periods of exposure. *Trans. Am. Inst. Chem. Eng.* **31**, 365.

Lynn, S., Straatemeier, J. R., and H. Kramers, 1955. Absorption studies in the light of the penetration theory. III. Absorption by wetted spheres, singly and in columns. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **4**, 63-67.

Rinker, E.B., Ashour, S.S., and O.C. Sandall, 1995. Kinetics and modeling of carbon dioxide absorption into aqueous solutions of N-methyldiethanolamine. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **50**, 755-768.

Wild, J.D., and O.E. Potter, 1968. Gas absorption with chemical reaction into liquid flowing on a sphere. *Inst. Chem. Eng.*, Symp. Series **28**, 30-38.

Hoigne, J., and H. Bader, 1983. Rate constants of reactions of ozone with organics and inorganic compounds in water -II. *Water Res.* **17**, 185-194.

Li, K.Y., Kuo, C.H., and J.L. Weeks, 1979. A kinetic study of ozone-phenol reaction in aqueous solution. *AIChE J.* **25**, 583-591.

Gould, J.P., and W.J. Weber, 1976. Oxidation of phenols by ozone. *Journal WPCF* **48**, 47-60.

Roth, J.A., and D.E. Sullivan, 1981. Solubility of ozone in water. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **20**, 137-140.

Eisenhauer, H R., 1968. The ozonation of phenolic wastes. *Journal WPCF.* **40**, 1887-1899.

Augugliaro, V., and L. Rizzuti, 1978. The pH dependence of the ozone absorption kinetics in aqueous phenol solutions. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **33**, 1441-1447.

Wegman, R.C.C., and H.H. van den Broek, 1983. Chlorophenols in river sediment in the Netherlands. *Water Res.* **17**, 227-230.

Table 1: Absorption of ozone into aqueous 2,4,6-TCP in a wetted-sphere absorber.

pH	$\dfrac{T}{^\circ C}$	$\dfrac{10^3[TCP]_{in}}{(kmol/m^3)}$	$\dfrac{10^3[TCP]_f}{(kmol/m^3)}$	$\dfrac{10^9 D_{O_3}}{(m^2/s)}$	$\dfrac{10^9 D_{TCP}}{(m^2/s)}$	$\dfrac{10^6 Q}{(m^3/s)}$	$\dfrac{10^6 v}{(m^2/s)}$	$\dfrac{P_{O_3}}{atm}$	$H_{O_3}\left(\dfrac{atm \cdot m^3}{kmol}\right)$	$\dfrac{10^{10} G_{O_3}}{(kmol/s)}$	$k_2\left(\dfrac{m^3}{kmol \cdot s}\right)$
2	15	1.695	1.410	1.51	0.609	0.851	1.139	0.0263	57.6	2.425	7500
2	20	1.632	1.324	1.74	0.690	0.984	1.009	0.0289	66.6	3.031	14400
2	25	1.616	1.330	1.99	0.782	1.024	0.898	0.0252	76.6	2.929	21500
2	30	1.518	1.185	2.20	0.864	0.995	0.824	0.0267	87.7	3.313	39000
2	35	1.713	1.310	2.48	0.977	0.882	0.744	0.0265	100.1	3.554	49000
7	15	1.462	1.286	1.51	0.609	1.036	1.139	0.0235	86.2	1.823	13700
7	20	1.455	1.250	1.74	0.690	1.027	1.009	0.0271	99.2	2.105	16900
7	25	1.462	1.225	1.99	0.782	1.078	0.898	0.0259	114.2	2.555	40000
7	30	1.385	1.107	2.20	0.864	0.951	0.824	0.0272	131.3	2.644	55000
7	35	1.451	1.124	2.48	0.977	0.928	0.744	0.0255	149.7	3.034	113000

Table 2: Absorption of ozone into aqueous 2,5-DCHQ in a wetted-sphere absorber.

pH	$\dfrac{T}{^\circ C}$	$\dfrac{10^3[DCHQ]_{in}}{\left(kmol/m^3\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^3[DCHQ]_f}{\left(kmol/m^3\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^9 D_{O_3}}{\left(m^2/s\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^9 D_{DCHQ}}{\left(m^2/s\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^6 Q}{\left(m^3/s\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^6 \nu}{\left(m^2/s\right)}$	$\dfrac{P_{O_3}}{atm}$	$\dfrac{H_{O_3}}{\left(\dfrac{atm\cdot m^3}{kmol}\right)}$	$\dfrac{10^{10} G_{O_3}}{\left(kmol/s\right)}$	$\dfrac{k_2}{\left(\dfrac{m^3}{kmol\cdot s}\right)}$
2	25	5.10	3.80	1.98	2.45	0.764	0.902	0.0251	114.7	9.93	232000
2	25	5.12	3.82	1.98	2.45	0.788	0.902	0.0312	114.7	10.2	160000
2	25	5.10	3.98	1.98	2.45	0.787	0.902	0.0305	114.7	8.84	105000

Figure 1: Diagram of Wetted-Sphere Absorber.

A   From Gas Supply
B   Saturator
C   Soap Bubble Meter
D   To Fume Hood
E   Manometer
F   Liquid Distributor
G   Wetted Sphere
H   Absorption Chamber
I   Water Jacket
J   Receiver Tube
K   Level Adjustment
L   To Drainage
M   From Water Feed Tank
N   Rotameter

Figure 2: Arrhenius plot of the second-order rate constant of the reaction of ozone with aqueous 2,4,6-trichlorophenol at pH 2 and 7 and for temperatures of 15 to 35°C.

Figure 3: Relative abundance of reaction products as a function of ozone exposure time.