## **UC Irvine**

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

### Title

Teaching and Evaluating Medical Students' Oral Presentations Skills in Emergency Medicine

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/38v4201z

### Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 20(4.1)

### ISSN

1936-900X

### **Authors**

Fisher, K Reynolds, C Fairbrother, H

# **Publication Date** 2019

2019

### **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2019 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

#### 29 Teaching and Evaluating Medical Students' Oral Presentations Skills in Emergency Medicine

#### Fisher K, Reynolds C, Fairbrother H / McGovern Emergency Medicine, University of Texas Houston, Houston, Texas

**Background:** Medical students receive generalized training in oral presentations yet lack preparation for emergency medicine (EM)-specific presentations, which differ in length, focus, and structure. Prior research suggests that students need further instruction in EM-focused oral presentations.

**Objectives:** In our pilot study, we assessed the need for training of EM-bound medical students in EM-specific oral presentations and trialed a multimodal curriculum. In this study we implemented a novel grading rubric to evaluate efficacy of the didactic curriculum.

**Methods:** Fourth-year, EM-bound students from 26 different medical schools rotating in July–October 2018 were voluntarily enrolled. Students (n = 49) completed preand post-intervention surveys. Attending physicians graded their oral presentations on their first shift (pre-intervention) and last shift (post-intervention) using a novel grading rubric (Figure 1). During the four-week rotation, students completed a self-paced, multimodal curriculum designed using expert sources (Figure 2). We analyzed data using paired t-test for statistical significance.

**Results:** In our study population, 61% of students had previous education in oral presentations, but less than 25% received EM-specific training. On pre-intervention surveys, students had an average of 6.4/10 when asked how prepared they felt presenting EM-specific oral presentations and 8.1/10 on post-intervention surveys (p<0.001). Our novel grading rubric assessed nine components of oral presentations with average scores increasing from 3.4/5.0 to 4.0/5.0 after implementation of the curriculum (p<0.05).

**Conclusion:** Our study suggests that medical students feel ill-prepared for presenting EM-focused oral presentations and have limited EM-specific prior training. Implementation of a multimodal, didactic curriculum created statistically significant increases in the students' feelings of preparedness. Use of a novel grading rubric demonstrated objective increases in students' performance on oral presentations.

		core of 3 to indicate performanc				
Chief complaint noted			FORY	<i>č</i>		
. Chief complaint noted	as part o	3	14	1 5	Ouestions/Comments	
No Chief complaint		Chief complaint mentioned		Chief complaint clear		
UPI starts with clear n	otient int	roduction including patient's age,		artinant active medical pro	blame	
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	2	3	sex, p	5	Ouestions/Comments	
No introductory sentence		Intro included CC and most		Intro painted a clear	o teo much	
		pertinent information		picture of patient	o teo little	
. The HPI includes only	. salawant	PMH and ROS without non-relev	net D	OS or only physical around	indinos	
1 l	2	3		5	Ouestions/Comments	
nformation has no clear	~	Information adequately	· ·	Information completely	too much	
connection to the active		describes the patient's active		and concisely describes	too little	
medical problems		medical problems		all active problems		
. Includes a targeted phy inder consideration and ar	ny other a	PHYSIC n including relevant vital signs bnormal findings			findings that distinguish the diago	
Either too much or too	2	Most important information	4	All important elements	Questions/Comments	
little information given		is given with vitals		of vitals and PE given	o teo linie	
l No summary statement	2	3 Most pertinent information	4	5 Summary statement	o teo much	
1	2	3	4	3	Questions/Comments	
				Summary statement		
or restatement of story	I	synthesized; may repeat		concisely synthesizes	o teo little	
without synthesis		some unnecessary		concisely synthesizes all key information	o teo little	
					o teo little	
		some unnecessary	T AN	all key information	o teo little	
without synthesis	different	some unnecessary information		all key information		
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate	different	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top "not to 3		all key information	Questions/Comments	
5. Provides an appropriate I No differential diagnoses	different	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top "not to 3 A Ddewith several possibilities		all key information <b>D PLAN</b> "diagnoses <u>5</u> Extensive Odewith most	Questions/Comments	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1	e different	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top "not to 3		all key information	Questions/Comments	
without synthesis	2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top "not to 3 A Ddewith several possibilities	o miss	all key information TO PLAN " diagnoses S Extensive Ddcwith most likely dx and "not to miss"	Questions/Comments to the much the blate wething listed on the prob list	
without synthesis Provides an appropriate I No differential diagnoses are given . States the diagnostic/the	2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEX ial diagnosis including top "not to 3 A Ode with several posibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea 3	o miss	all key information D PLAN "diagnoses Extensive Odawith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to son 5	Questions/Comments to smach the filte cetting listed on the prob list Questions/Comments	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1. No differential diagnoses are given 2. States the diagnostic/th 1. 2atient plan is not described	2	some unnecessary information ASSESSME2 ial diagnosis including top "not to 3 A Odewith sevenal possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea 3 Plan for the paint addresses	o miss	all key information T PLAN " diagnoses Extensive Odewith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to son Patient plan is complete Patient plan is complete	Questions/Comments to make too like wething listed on the prob list Questions/Comments too make	
without synthesis Provides an appropriate I No differential diagnoses are given . States the diagnostic/th I atient plan is not described or is unrelated to the	2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top 'not to 3 A Odewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea Plan for the patient addresses most important issues, may	o miss	all key information <b>D PLAN</b> "diagnoses Extensive <b>Ddawith most</b> likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som 5 Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the	Questions/Comments to smach the filte cetting listed on the prob list Questions/Comments	
without synthesis Provides an appropriate No differential diagnoses are given States the diagnostic/th I Tatient plan is not described	2	some unnecessary information ASSESSME2 ial diagnosis including top "not to 3 A Odewith sevenal possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea 3 Plan for the paint addresses	o miss	all key information T PLAN " diagnoses Extensive Odewith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to son Patient plan is complete Patient plan is complete	Questions/Comments to make too like wething listed on the prob list Questions/Comments too make	
without synthesis . Provides an appropriate I No differential disgnoses are given . States the diagnostic/th I attent plan is not described or is uncrelated to the problem list	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top 'not to 3 Obewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea Plan for the patient addresses most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems CENERAI	ach ite	all key information ** diagnoses Extensive Ode with most likely de and "not to miss" m in the plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issees are included	Questions/Comments to make too like webling listed on the prob list Questions/Comments too make	
without synthesis . Provides an appropriate 1 No differential disgnoses are given . States the diagnostic/th 1 attent plan is not described or is unrelated to the problem list . Body language and spe 1	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top 'not to 3 A Odewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; co Plan for the patient addresses most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems GENERAI le 3	ach ite	all key information D PLAN "diagnoses Extensive Dodrwith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som plan relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included PECTS 5	Questions/Comments to make too like webling listed on the prob list Questions/Comments too make	
without synthesis  . Provides an appropriate I No differential diagnoses are given . States the diagnostic/thu . States the diagnostic/thu itent plan is not described problem list . Body language and spe 1 Ifficult to understand with	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSME and diagnosis including top 'not to 3 A Ddowith averal possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea 3 Information of the second second problems and the second second second problems GENERA le 3 Mostly understandable and Mostly understandable and	ach ite	all key information  D PLAN  diagnoses  Extensive Oxforwith most likely dx and "not to miss"  m in the plan relates to son m in the plan relates to son Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included  ECTS  Understandable and	Questions/Comments to block to blo	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1 No differential disgnoses are given 7. States the diagnostic/th 2. States the diagnostic/th 2. States the diagnostic/th 2. States the diagnostic/th 2. States the diagnostic/th 3. Body language and spe 1	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top' mot to 3 A Ddewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; co most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems GENERAI le 3 Mostly understandable and engaging with acceptable body	ach ite	all key information D PLAN "diagnoses Extensive Ddawith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included PECTS Understandable and capazing speaking style.	Questions/Comments to block to blo	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1 No differential diagnoses are given 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States is not described problem list 3. Body language and spe 1 Difficult to understand with	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSME and diagnosis including top 'not to 3 A Ddowith averal possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea 3 Information of the second second problems and the second second second problems GENERA le 3 Mostly understandable and Mostly understandable and	ach ite	all key information  D PLAN  diagnoses  Extensive Oxforwith most likely dx and "not to miss"  m in the plan relates to son m in the plan relates to son Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included  ECTS  Understandable and	Questions/Comments to block to blo	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1 No differential diagnoses are given 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States the diagnostic/th 7. States is not described problem list 3. Body language and spe 1 Difficult to understand with	2 crapeutic 2 aking sty	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top' mot to 3 A Ddewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; co most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems GENERAI le 3 Mostly understandable and engaging with acceptable body	ach ite	all key information D PLAN "diagnoses Extensive Ddawith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included PECTS Understandable and capazing speaking style.	Questions/Comments to mask to mask to mask to mask Questions/Comments Questions/Comments Questions/Comments Questions/Comments	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1 I No differential disgnoses are given 7. States the diagnostic/th Patient plan is not described or is surcleated to the problem list 8. Body language and spe I Difficult to understand with distracting gestures I I	2 crapeutic 2	some unnecessary information ASSESSME ial diagnosis including top 'not to 3 A Delawith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; ea plan that targets each problem; ea most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems GENERAI le 3 Mostly understandable and engaging with acceptable body language	ach ite	all key information  D PLAN  "diagnoses  Extensive Decwith most likely ds and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som an relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included  PECTS  Understandable and cagaing speaking style, professional body language  5	Questions/Comments to block to blo	
without synthesis 5. Provides an appropriate 1 1 No differential disgnoses are given 7. States the diagnostic/th Patient plan is not described or is unrelated to the problem list 3. Body language and spe 1 Difficult to understand with distracting gestures	2 crapeutic 2 aking sty	some unnecessary information ASSESSMEN ial diagnosis including top' mot to 3 A Ddewith several possibilities is given for major problems plan that targets each problem; co most important issues, may omit active but lower priority problems GENERAI le 3 Mostly understandable and engaging with acceptable body	ach ite	all key information D PLAN "diagnoses Extensive Ddawith most likely dx and "not to miss" m in the plan relates to som Patient plan is complete and relates directly to the problem list; all active issues are included PECTS Understandable and capazing speaking style.	Questions/Comments to mask to mask to mask to mask Questions/Comments Questions/Comments Questions/Comments Questions/Comments	

PATIENT PRESENTATION RATING TOOL

# **30** Emergency Department Thoracotomy Education Needs Assessment

Zaidi H, Fant A, Sanders S, Miller D, Pirotte M, Salzman D / Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois

**Background:** Emergency department thoracotomy (EDT) is a rare and potentially life-saving procedure that emergency medicine (EM) residents must be able to perform correctly. Due to the infrequent occurrence of EDTs, studies evaluating whether EM residents are competent to perform this procedure are rare.

**Objectives:** To assess EM residents' baseline abilities to perform an EDT on a novel, simulated model.

**Methods:** This was a prospective, single-site study of EM residents in a four-year, urban, academic residency program. Residents were asked to individually complete an emergent

		Multimodal Didactic Curriculum Components					
Modality	Author	Year	Title	Source			
Primary Literature	Davenport <i>et al.</i>	2008	The 3-minute emergency medicine medical student presentation: a variation on a theme	1			
Supplemental Outline	Davenport <i>et al.</i>	2008	Oral Presentations in Emergency Medicine	1			
FOAMed Review	Javier Benitez, MD	2012	Academic Life in EM (ALIEM): "The 3-mintue EM student presentation"	1, 2			
Video	CDEM/EMRA	2015	Making the Most of Patient Presentations	3			
Podcast	EMBasic: Steve Carroll, MD	2012	How to give a good ED patient presentation	4			
Podcast Notes	EMBasic: Steve Carroll, MD	2012	How to give a good ED patient presentation	4			
Flipped Classroom	Stella Yiu, MD	2013	FlippedEM Classroom: How to Present in the Emergency Department: Part 2:The EM Presentation	5			
References:							
1. Davenport C, Honigman B, Druck J. The 3-minute emergency medicine medical student presentation: a variation on a theme. Acad Emerg Med. 2008 Jul; 15(7):683-7							
2. Benitez, J. 2012. Academic Life in EM (ALIEM): "The 3-mintue EM student presentation" https://www.aliem.com/2012/08/the-3-minute-em-student-presentation/							
3. Yip, M and Mitra, A. "Making the Most of Patient Presentations" https://www.saem.org/cdem/education/online-education/medical-student-presentations-video							
4. Carroll, S.EMBasic "How to give a good ED patient presentation" http://embasic.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/03/17-how-to-give-a-good-ed-patient-presentation.pdf							
5. Yiu, S. 2013. "How to present in the emergency department" FlippedEMclassroom.com							